



जल शक्ति मंत्रालय
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
MINISTRY OF JAL SHAKTI
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,
RIVER DEVELOPMENT & GANGA REJUVENATION

ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA (Atal Jal)

Report of the 3rd Round of Verification in respect
of Disbursement Linked Indicators (DLIs)





जल शक्ति मंत्रालय
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
MINISTRY OF JAL SHAKTI
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,
RIVER DEVELOPMENT & GANGA REJUVENATION

ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA (Atal Jal)

Report of the 3rd Round of Verification in respect
of Disbursement Linked Indicators (DLIs)



Prepared by :

**QUALITY COUNCIL®
OF INDIA**
Creating an Ecosystem for Quality

I N D E X

Executive Summary	1
Introduction	4
Extent of Atal Bhujal Yojana	6
Timeline for the verification of achievements for Atal Bhujal Yojana	7
Quality Control Mechanism	9
Chapter 1 - DLI#1	
Approach and Methodology for Verification of 2 nd round of DLI#1	14
Computing 'Successful Occurrences' against the achievement of DLI#1	24
DLI#1 Verification Results and Observation	
State-wise summary of Result of Verification	25
Gujarat	25
Haryana	25
Karnataka	26
Madhya Pradesh	26
Maharashtra	27
Rajasthan	27
Uttar Pradesh	28
Summary of Baseline Data and verified indicator after the verification of 2 nd round	29
Chapter II - DLI#2	
Approach and Methodology for Verification of 2 nd round of DLI#2	31
2 nd round of DLI#2 Verification Results and Observation	33
DLI#2 Verification Results and Observation	34

State-wise summary of Results of Verification	34
Gujarat	34
Haryana	37
Karnataka	40
Madhya Pradesh	41
Maharashtra	44
Rajasthan	47
Uttar Pradesh	50
Conclusion	51
State-wise Verification Results for the Disbursement Linked Indicators	53
Annexure	60
Annexure I - DLI#1 Verification Protocol	61
1 st Round	62
2 nd Round	62
Annexure IA - Templates for Data Collection	66
Annexure IB - DLI#1 State-Wise Results	72
Gujarat	72
Haryana	76
Karnataka	80
Madhya Pradesh	84
Maharashtra	88
Rajasthan	92
Uttar Pradesh	96
Annexure IC - Block-wise Results of Hydrogeological Assessment	100

Annexure II - DLI#2 Verification Protocol

1 st Round	107
2 nd Round	107

Annexure IIA - Template for Resolution and DPMU Declaration

Annexure IIB - DLI#2 State-Wise Result

Gujarat	110
Haryana	117
Madhya Pradesh	123
Maharashtra	126
Rajasthan	133

Annexure III - Extent of Atal Bhujal Yojana



List of Tables

Table A	Summary table of Successful Occurrence across the seven states for the Disbursement of Funds under DLI#1 (Year 2021-2022)
Table B	Summary table of GPs considered 'Adequate' for Community Participation assessment under DLI#2 (Year – 2022)
Table C	Details of Area under Atal Bhujal Yojana in Participating States
Table D	State Wise Availability of Water Level Data as per verification protocols for new OWs
Table E	State Wise Availability of Water Quality Data as per verification protocols for new OWs
Table F	Allocation of the Scores in Assessing Hydrogeological Report
Table G	Block wise availability of hydrogeological reports
Table H	Calculation of Successful Occurrences under Re-assessment of the 2 nd round of DLI#1
Table I	DLI#1 Baseline data and verified indicators after 2 nd Round of Verification
Table J	State Wise detailed break-up of results of verification for 2 nd round of DLI#2
Table K	State wise break up of DLI#1 indicators

Abbreviations

A	Adequate
Ca	Calcium
CAR	Consultative Approach Result
CBO	Community Based Organization
CGWB	Central Ground Water Board
Cl	Chlorine
CO ₃	Carbonate
CP	Community Participation
DIP	District Implementation Partner
DLI	Disbursement Linked Indicator
DoWR, RD&GR	Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation
DPMU	District Program Management Unit
DSPC	Data Storage & Programming Cell
EC	Electrical Conductance
F	Fluoride
GEMS	Groundwater Estimation and Management System
GIS	Geographic Information System
GOI	Government of India
GP	Gram Panchayat
GSDA	Groundwater Surveys and Development Agency
GWD	Ground Water Department
GWDES	Ground Water Data Entry System
GWRDC	Gujarat Water Resources Development Corporation
Ha.m	Hectare Meter
HCO ₃	Bicarbonate
HGR	Hydrogeological Report
IMIS	Integrated Management Information System
IT	Information Technology
K	Potassium
KN	Karnataka
m.bgl	Meter below ground level
uS/cm	Micro Siemen/cm
M/o Jal Shakti	Ministry of Jal Shakti
Mg	Magnesium

MIS	Management Information System
MPWRD	Madhya Pradesh Water Resources Department
Na	Sodium
NABL	National Accreditation Board for Testing & Calibration Laboratories
NI	Needs Improvement
NO ₃	Nitrate
NPMU	National Program Management Unit
OW	Observation Well
PAD	Project Appraisal Document
PforR	Program for Results
PGWM	Participatory Ground Water Management
pH	Potential of Hydrogen or Power of Hydrogen
PMU	Program Management Unit
Pz	Piezometer
QCI	Quality Council of India
QCI HQ	Quality Council of India Head Quarter
SAR	Scheme Awareness Result
SGWD	State Ground Water Department
SO ₄	Sulphate
SPMU	State Program Management Unit
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TPGVA	Third Party Government Verification Agency
VWSC	Village Water & Sanitation Committee
WB	Water Budget
WL	Water Level
WMC	Water Management Committee
WP	Women Participation
WQ	Water Quality
WQDES	Water Quality Data Entry System
WSC	Water And Sanitation Committee
WSP	Water Security Plan
WUA	Water User Association



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Atal Bhujal Yojana is a Central Sector Scheme that envisages improvement in groundwater management in the water-stressed areas of identified states viz. Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. The total outlay of the scheme is INR 6,000 crore, of which INR 3,000 crore shall be in the form of a loan from the World Bank and INR 3,000 crore from the Government of India (GoI). It is being implemented over a period of five years from 2020-21 till 2024-25. The World Bank is financing the scheme under its Program for Results (PforR) lending instrument, in which disbursements are linked to achievements of predefined results. The scheme has two components:

- i) Institutional Strengthening and Capacity Building Component**, aimed at strengthening the groundwater governance mechanism in the participating States.
- ii) Incentive Component**, aimed at rewarding/incentivizing the States for various measures aimed at improving groundwater management practices and ensuring the long-term sustainability of groundwater resources.

Disbursements under the incentive component are linked to the performance of states against the identified Disbursement Linked Indicators (DLIs), duly verified by an independent Third-Party Government Verification Agency (TPGVA). To carry out independent verification of results in respect of the DLIs, M/s Quality Council of India (QCI) has been engaged as the TPGVA by the Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India.

DLI#1 incentivizes improvement in the overall quality of groundwater monitoring and data dissemination by the participating States. Till date, two rounds of verification have been conducted for DLI#1.

The 1st round of verification of DLI#1 was completed in December, 2020 and the final report was submitted in January, 2021. Based on the reporting of QCI's verification, the allocated funds for the respective cycle were disbursed to all the seven states.

The 2nd round of verification of DLI#1 for achievements by the participating States was carried out by QCI in October 2021. Further, a re-assessment was carried out in April 2022 based on the protocols finalized in consultation with NPMU and M/o Jal Shakti as mentioned in Annexure - I. The results of the same are mentioned in Chapter - I of the report.

Based on the verification of all the eligible indicators in the re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1, a total of **630** occurrences were recommended for all the seven states for disbursement of incentives.

DLI#2 incentivizes the roll-out of a standardized bottom-up approach for groundwater planning process through the preparation of community-led Water Security Plans (WSPs) at the Gram Panchayat (GP) level. Till date, two rounds of verification have been conducted for DLI#2.

The 1st round of verification of DLI#2 was carried out in February 2022, for a total of 3187 WSPs. Based on the reporting of QCI's verification, the allocated funds for the respective cycle were disbursed to all the Seven states.

The 2nd round of verification of DLI#2 was carried out in April 2022 - May 2022. A 20% stratified sample out of **3363** submitted WSPs was verified and a total of **3072** successful occurrences were recommended for across seven states for disbursement of incentives.

The current report incorporates the evaluation and findings of the verification done by QCI to arrive at successful occurrences in respect of re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1 and the 2nd round of DLI#2.

The current report incorporates the evaluation and findings of the verification done by QCI to arrive at successful occurrences in respect of re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1 and the 2nd round of DLI#2.

Table A:

Summary table of Successful Occurrence across the seven states for the Disbursement of Funds under DLI#1 (Year 2021-2022)

State	Water Level OWs (1)	Water Quality OWs (2)	Block-Wise HGRs (3)	Total Successful Occurrences (1+2+3)
Gujarat	226	0	0	226
Haryana	6	0	0	6
Karnataka	95	0	0	95
Madhya Pradesh	3	0	0	3
Maharashtra	0	0	0	0
Rajasthan	139	69	30	238
Uttar Pradesh	62	0	0	62
Total	531	69	30	630

Table B:

Summary table of GPs considered 'Adequate' for Community Participation assessment under DLI#2 (Year 2022)

States	WSPs Received	GPs sampled for field verification	GPs with Aggregate Result as "Adequate" from sample	WSPs After Extrapolation
Gujarat	785	163	151	727
Haryana	684	142	127	611
Karnataka	0	0	0	0
Madhya Pradesh	285	59	59	285
Maharashtra	829	170	166	809
Rajasthan	780	162	133	640
Uttar Pradesh	0	0	0	0
Total	3363	696	636	3072



INTRODUCTION

Atal Bhujal Yojana was launched by the Hon'ble Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi on 25th December 2019, the 95th birth anniversary of former Prime Minister Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee. The scheme endeavours to improve groundwater management in the identified water-stressed regions of seven states viz. Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh.

Atal Bhujal Yojana is a Central Sector Scheme with an outlay of INR 6,000 crores, out of which INR 3000 crores will be loaned by the World Bank and INR 3000 crores will be contributed by the Government of India (GoI). The funds under the scheme shall be provided to the States as grants-in-aid. The World Bank financing will be done under a new lending instrument that is Program for Results (PforR), wherein funds under the scheme will be disbursed from the World Bank to the GoI based on the achievement of predetermined parameters. The scheme will be implemented over a period of five years from 2020-21 till 2024-25.

The objective of the Atal Bhujal program is to improve the management of groundwater resources in water-stressed regions of the implementing states with an emphasis on community participation, demand-side management and convergence of various on-going schemes of the Central and State Governments.

The scheme has two components, which are as follows:

i. Institutional Strengthening and Capacity Building Component

It is aimed at strengthening the groundwater governance mechanism in the implementing states.

ii. Incentive Component

This is directed at incentivizing the implementing states for various measures to improve groundwater management practices and ensuring long-term sustainability of groundwater resources.

Under the Incentive Component, States will be rewarded for activities such as:

- a.** Disclosure of groundwater monitoring in the public domain.
- b.** Preparation of community led water security plan (WSPs) and finalisation of demand and supply side interventions at Gram Panchayat level.
- c.** Successful convergence between various on-going schemes of the Central and State Governments.
- d.** Implementation of community led supply-side and demand-side interventions to promote sustainable groundwater management.
- e.** Ensuring the increase in groundwater levels through improved and sustainable water usage practices.

Disbursements under the incentive component are linked to the performance of states against identified Disbursement Linked Indicators (DLIs). Disbursement of funds is subject to achievement of these predetermined indicators by the implementing agencies after due verification by a Third-Party Government Verification Agency (TPGVA).

A total of five DLIs will be considered:

DLI#1 - Public disclosure of ground water data/information and reports: This DLI incentivizes the strengthening of groundwater management institutions to ensure periodic collection of data and public disclosure of groundwater related information. This is taken as a measure for improved quality of groundwater monitoring and data dissemination.

DLI#2 - Preparation of community-led water security plans: This DLI incentivizes the roll-out of a standardized bottom-up approach for groundwater planning process through the preparation of community-led Water Security Plans (WSPs) at the Gram Panchayat (GP) level.

DLI#3 - Public financing of approved water security plans through convergence of ongoing/new schemes: This DLI incentivizes the implementation of the groundwater planning process to improve the effectiveness of public financing through the convergence of various government schemes.

DLI#4 - Adoption of practices for efficient water use: This DLI incentivizes the implementation of demand-side measures in the WSPs and highlights the importance of shifting focus from supply-side measures to demand-side measures to improve groundwater sustainability.

DLI#5 - Improvement in the rate of decline of groundwater levels: This DLI incentivizes the arrest in decline of groundwater levels in target areas. This will be realized at the block level by comparing the improvement in the declining groundwater levels compared to the baseline trend.

In order to carry out independent verification of results with respect to the above-mentioned DLIs, the Quality Council of India (QCI) has been selected as the Third-

Party Government Verification Agency (TPGVA) by the Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation (DoWR, RD&GR), Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India. The key tasks of the agency include establishing baseline data, developing the methodology to assess the performance of seven implementing states, and preparing performance reports with respect to achievement of DLIs to DoWR, RD&GR within the prescribed time-frame.

The 1st round of verification of DLI#1 was carried out by QCI between 16th December 2020 and 26th December 2020, the report for which was submitted to the Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation (DoWR, RD&GR) on 25th January 2021. Verification of the disclosed data under DLI#1 was done on the basis of the protocol formulated in line with the Program Guidelines Ver.1.1 of Atal Bhujal Yojana. A total of 3435 successful occurrences were recommended. This was based on the summation of the effective number of observation wells with water level and water quality data, along with the number of complete block-wise Hydrogeological Reports (HGRs) across the seven implementing states.

The report for the year 2020-2021 comprised the results of the the 2nd round of DLI#1 which had 193 successful occurrences and the 1st round of DLI#2 had a total of 2215 successful occurrences, submitted on 18th February 2022. For DLI#2, the results captured were classified into 'Adequate' and 'Needs Improvement' with respect to the process followed on ground for each of the two parameters: Consultative Process and Atal Bhujal Yojana awareness. This classification was based on comparative and absolute standards.


This report presents the results for the verification of re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1 and the 2nd round of DLI#2 carried out by the QCI.

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA


The following table shows the number of Districts, Blocks and Gram Panchayats (GPs) under the Atal Bhujal Yojana in the seven participating states.

Table C: Details of Area under Atal Bhujal Yojana in Participating States

State	Districts	Blocks	GPs
Gujarat	6	36	2001
Haryana	14	36	1669
Karnataka	14	41	1199
Madhya Pradesh	6	9	663
Maharashtra	13	38	1339
Rajasthan	17	38	1141
Uttar Pradesh	10	26	550
Total	80	224	8562



Timeline for the Verification of Achievements for Atal Bhujal Yojana



¹*Considered for re-assessment

²*Considered for re-assessment

15th January 2022
DLI#2 1st Phase Data Re-submission
cut-off date for States



25th January 2022
DLI#2 1st Round data submission
cut-off date for States



16th January - 15th February
DLI#2 1st Round of Assessment



18th February 2022
Report submission



3rd March 2022
World Bank meeting
with QCI and NPMU



31st March 2022
DLI#2 2nd Round Data Submission
Cut-off date for States



1st April 2022 - 8th April 2022
DLI#1 2nd Round Assessment



11th April 2022 - 18th May 2022
DLI#2 2nd Round Assessment



6th May 2022
World Bank meeting
with QCI and NPMU



30th June 2022
Report submission



QUALITY CONTROL MECHANISM

Quality Control Mechanisms for Credible Verification

To ensure quality of the implementation of the scheme, Quality Council of India as the TPGVA ensured various quality checks throughout the verification process.





A. PLANNING PROCESS

Quality Council of India along with the NPMU ensured that the States had adequate awareness about the verification process followed by TPGVA for the evaluation of the documents present at the Gram Panchayats. The correctness of the documentation, digital uploading, file organization and data keeping was thoroughly checked to make certain that the process followed at both Block level and Gram Panchayats level was in alignment with the scheme.

B. DATA SAMPLING AND DOCUMENT VERIFICATION

As part of the verification for the re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1, a random sample of 20% was drawn at block level for the verification. It was ensured that the 20% of the sample OWs considered for water level and water quality data were randomly selected across the seven states, with blocks of high, medium and low-density wells along with the spatial distribution was considered across the areas under Atal Bhujal Yojana.

The desktop assessment of DLI#1, i.e. the overall data submitted by States was undertaken for Water Level, Water Quality and Hydrogeological Reports. The sampled blocks for water level and water quality were not directly disclosed to the States to ensure reliability and credibility of data. However in addition, extra data was collected during the verification. This was done to ensure that there were no cases of manipulation of sampled data, establishing the authenticity of the

collected data. Along with this, the desktop assessment of all the submitted HGRs were conducted.

The water level and quality data was collected and documented from both CGWB and SGWD offices by QCI personnel. Digital records of the sampled wells were downloaded for future reference. The scanned copies of registers/logbooks (used for recording data) were also collected (physical & digital) from SGWD and CGWB offices.

Discrepancies observed during verification of data from various sources were documented and assessment sheets were maintained for cross-checking.

For DLI#2, from the list of submitted and approved WSPs, a 20% stratified random sample was drawn for the field verification. This 20% sampling was done across all the districts to ensure uniformity and geographic representation.

C. Internal Quality Checks

QCI as the TPGVA had several quality control structures in place for the verification of the reassessment of 2nd round of DLI#1 and 2nd round of DLI#2:

- **Digital data monitoring:** Internal trackers and detailed sheets were maintained to track live progress of assessment.
- **Field visits:** Trained and qualified QCI empaneled assessor conducted verification in the sampled GPs. Both community and committee interview were

conducted. Also, QCI senior team professionals ensured to visit in 10% of the total sampled GPs; for field verification as well as over-shadow of the deployed QCI empaneled assessors. This was done in order to ensure reliability of the field assessment procedure to capture the community's engagement in the scheme. Through this engagement of QCI, it was noted that the citizens were able to realise the importance of community participation in the scheme and also look forward to the implementation of DLLs in a holistic manner.

Simultaneously, a 24x7 war-room was established in Delhi HQ which ensured the quality of the responses and data, through real-time backend quality-checks and re-evaluation of each assessment.

- **Documentation:** For various parameters, the documents submitted on the MIS were thoroughly checked and verified at the backend to ensure the legitimacy of the same. For example, the records of the meetings held in the GPs were checked during the field assessment.
- **Field Assessment of Observation Wells:** For field verification, wells were chosen at random from the


data disclosed by the States with respect to the water level. 4 OWs per block across a minimum of 6 blocks per state were considered for the sample.

To carry out the field assessment, wells were visited based on their location coordinates (latitude and longitude), provided in data disclosed by states. Upon visiting the well, details related to its accessibility, functionality, frequency of monitoring and measuring mechanism were recorded through a comprehensive questionnaire.

- **Surveys:** To maintain the transparency and quality, QCI ensured geo-tagging of all photographic evidences taken during the field assessment. Additionally, during the backend quality checks, the voice recordings of all the interviews and the videos of select respondents were examined.
- **Clarifications and checks:** Every state had a dedicated single point of contact from the QCI team interacting with state/district officials to help them out with queries related to the protocol.



Representation of sampled GPs for field verification of the submitted WSPs under DLI#2



Chapter I

Disbursement Linked Indicator #1

Chapter 1 of this report introduces readers to the distinctive process developed and followed by Quality Council of India (TPGVA) for 2nd round of DLI#1. This framework allowed Quality Council of India (TPGVA) to conduct effortless assessment and portrait exceptionally high-quality on ground reporting to compute successful occurrences for re-assessment of the 2nd round of 1st disbursement link indicator (DLI#1).

Disbursement Linked Indicator #1

A. APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY FOR VERIFICATION OF DLI#1


The approach and methodology of data protocol for the re-assessment of 2nd round of DLI#1 is in line with the Program Guidelines (Version 1.1) and Project Appraisal Document (PAD) of the World Bank (ANNEXURE:I).

As per the verification protocol for the 2nd round of DLI#1, the achievement by a State against DLI#1 was verified with respect to the following sub-indicators:

- a. **Water Level Data**- New observation wells in the program blocks for which water level data, monitored using a functional measuring device is available and disclosed and updation of water level data of 2020 for Observation Wells (OWs) disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification which was set as a qualifying criteria.
- b. **Water Quality Data**- New observation wells in the program blocks for which water quality data is available and disclosed and updation of water quality data of 2020 for Observation Wells (OWs) disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification which was set as a qualifying criteria.
- c. **Hydrogeological Reports**- No. of program blocks for which the HGRs disclosed on the MIS, with the information pertaining to ground water level and water quality monitoring is available and updated till the year 2020 after the 1st round of verification.
- d. **Energy Consumption or Volumetric Groundwater Usage Meters** - No. of wells equipped with functional meters for measuring energy consumption or volumetric groundwater usage.
- e. **Groundwater Quality Labs**- No. of State- level accredited groundwater quality labs
- f. **Public Disclosure** - Disclosure of data by States through different modes/mechanism.



METHODOLOGY FOR THE VERIFICATION OF SECOND ROUND



B. AVAILABILITY OF WATER LEVEL AND WATER QUALITY DATA IN THE ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA MIS

1. Availability of Water Level Data

Qualifying Criteria: Updation of water level data for pre-monsoon (April- May) and post-monsoon (October - November) period of 2020 for at least 70% of Observation Wells (OWs) disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification.

For verification of water level of observation wells, pre-monsoon (April - May) and post-monsoon (October - November) data was considered.

- For the 1st round of verification of DLI#1, availability of at least 8 data points (in respect of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon water levels) out of the total of 10 possible data points over the period 2015-19 were considered as a minimum requirement for qualification for disbursement of incentives.
- For the re-assessment of the 2nd round of verification of DLI#1, an additional 2 points for the year 2020 having pre-monsoon and post-monsoon water level data have been included as a minimum requirement for qualification for disbursement of incentives.
- In conclusion, the minimum requirement for qualification in the re-assessment of 2nd round of verification is 8 data points in 2015-19 and 2 data points in 2020. Hence, only the wells passing the requirement mentioned above were considered to have disclosed enough data and were taken for further verification.

Table D: State Wise Availability of Water Level Data as per Verification Protocols for New OWs

Water Level						
State	Wells disclosed on the MIS (2015-19)	No. of OWs (Updated + Additional)	No. of old OWs updated (2020)	70% Updation Criteria	No. of New OWs	No. of New OWs qualified as per Verification Protocol
Gujarat	272	490	242	89%	241	229
Haryana	614	631	582	95%	17	6
Karnataka	299	883	254	85%	599	96
Madhya Pradesh	187	190	168	90%	3	3
Maharashtra	699	3610	496	71%	2914	0
Rajasthan	387	725	387	100%	338	145
Uttar pradesh	198	394	171	86%	196	62
Total	2656	6923	2300	-	4308	541



2. Availability of Water Quality Data

Qualifying Criteria: Updation of water quality data of 2020 for at least 70% of Observation Wells (OWs) disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification.

For verification of water quality of observation wells, data for any one sample per year is considered. The parameters considered for water quality are pH, EC/TDS, Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃ and F.

- Availability of Electrical Conductance (EC) / Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) and pH together with at least 3 major cations/anions (Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃,

HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃, F) for at least 3 years during 2015-19 was considered sufficient for an observation well to be considered eligible for disbursement.

- For the re-assessment of the 2nd round of verification, the wells required 2020 data to be available for pH, EC/TDS & 10 ions (cations/anions), to be considered eligible for disbursement.
- Hence, in both 1st and 2nd round of verification only such wells were considered to have disclosed data and taken for further verification.

Table E: State Wise Availability of Water Quality Data as per Verification Protocols for New OWs

Water Quality						
State	Wells disclosed on the MIS (2015-19)	No. of OWs (Updated + Additional)	No. of old OWs updated (2020)	70% Updation Criteria	No. of New OWs	No. of New OWs qualified as per Verification Protocol
Gujarat	26	440	17	65%	419	0
Haryana	118	240	96	81%	122	0
Karnataka	123	538	0	0%	415	0
Madhya Pradesh	33	190	18	55%	167	0
Maharashtra	66	0	0	0%	0	0
Rajasthan	403	642	288	71%	232	69
Uttar Pradesh	26			Data Not Submitted		
Total	795	2050	419	-	1355	69

*Unit in numbers

C. DOCUMENT VERIFICATION FOR WATER LEVEL AND WATER QUALITY

As per the verification protocol, the authenticity of data disclosed was assessed through verification of data sources. The authenticity of data was established in two phases:

1. In the 1st phase, the QCI team visited the State agencies'(SPMU) offices to physically verify the data submitted by states on MIS against original sources of data. In case of non-availability of data at that level, both CGWB and SGWD data centres and water quality laboratories were additionally visited for verification
2. In the 2nd phase, the disclosed Observation Wells were randomly visited to gauge accessibility and usability.

A methodological approach was adopted for the selection of sample observation wells for verification ensuring spatial distribution. The sampling criteria and the approach of verification is briefly discussed in the next section.

For sampling, wells disclosed on MIS against water level and water quality were considered for data verification. As per the qualifying protocols, sampling was done at the block level and a random sample of 20% subject to a minimum of 6 blocks were chosen for verification. The blocks were selected as per statistical and spatial analysis discussed in quality control

mechanism section.


Towards verification of water level and water quality data, both compiled digital and physical records maintained by the concerned gram panchayat/ block/district/state government offices were deemed authentic sources of data. For digital documents, state level compilation sources like GEMS portal were considered whereas for physical documents, scanned copies of original ground level documents were considered for verification.

For verification of submitted water level data, pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data points were considered whereas for water quality verification, data points related to pH, EC/TDS, Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃ and F were considered towards establishing authenticity of information.


Source data procured during the field visit by TPGVA (QCI), was checked against each data point submitted by the state. Discrepancies in data points were noted, which was used for the estimation of successful occurrences with respect to the water level and water quality data disclosed by the states.

Maps highlighting the block wise extent of Atal Bhujal Yojana along with the blocks sampled for water level and water quality data as a part of DLI#1 are mentioned below:


Maps showing the state-wise blocks sampled for WL & WQ are shown below:




Haryana



Karnataka



Madhya Pradesh




Atal Bhujal Yojana Sample Blocks

- Blocks Under Atal Bhujal Yojana
- Sampled blocks with Water Level Data

* State did not qualify for Water Quality

Maharashtra



Atal Bhujal Yojana Sample Blocks

- Blocks Under Atal Bhujal Yojana

* State did not qualify for both Water Level and Water Quality

D. Block level hydrogeological reports

As per the verification protocol, public disclosure of data includes dissemination of the block – level Hydrogeological Reports on the MIS. These reports contain basic details, water level and water quality monitoring data of OWs in the block and maps such as the Location map, Hydrogeological map, location of observation wells for monitoring water level and water quality, pre and post monsoon water level maps, map showing distribution of specific electrical Conductance.

Block-level Hydrogeological Reports were assessed based on their completeness in terms of different parameters, as per the content of the reports. Broadly, the reports consist of three major sections viz. Basic Information, Maps, and Tables that are mentioned in ANNEXURE-IA. Accordingly, the following key parameters were devised against which scores were allotted.

Table F: Allocation of scores in assessing Hydrogeological report

Sections	No. of sub- parameters for scoring	Weightage allotted to each sub parameter	Total score allotted
Basic Information	10	0.5	5
Maps	6	1.5	9
Table(I, II, III)	3	2	6
Grand Total			20

Only those block level reports that were 'Complete' in all aspects and attained a score of 20 were considered for disbursement of incentives against this indicator.

For the purpose of the verification for the re-assessment 2nd round of DLI#1, the criteria for disbursement with respect to this sub-indicator is as follows:

The template shared with the states was the basis for the verification of block-wise Hydrogeological Reports with water level and water quality monitoring information. Each report submitted by the states was evaluated for the following parameters:

- a. Completeness of Basic Information
- b. Availability of 6 Maps such as the Location map, Hydrogeological map, location of observation wells for monitoring water level and water quality, pre-and post- monsoon water level maps, map

showing distribution of specific electrical Conductance. The map showing cross- section of sub surface regionalised aquifer groups was also included optionally, if available.

- c. Availability of 3 tables such as Basic data of WL/WQ observation wells, ground water level data (2015-2020) and ground water quality data (2015-2020). It was ensured that the data provided by the States for the water level and water quality in the Hydrogeological Reports matched with the data uploaded by the states on the MIS of Atal Bhujal Yojana.

The Hydrogeological Reports already disclosed during the 1st round of verification were required to be updated with water level and quality data for the year 2020 and uploaded on MIS of Atal Bhujal Yojana.

Table G: Block wise availability of Hydrogeological Report

State	No. of Blocks	No. of HGR Disclosed
Gujarat	36	0
Haryana	36	36
Karnataka	41	41
MP	9	9
Maharashtra	38	38
Rajasthan	38	37
UP	26	26
Total	224	187

3. Energy consumption & volumetric ground water usage meters

A template was provided on the MIS for the collection of data for verifying the no. of wells equipped with functional meters for measuring energy consumption or volumetric ground water usage. It was observed that no data was disclosed by any of the 7 states in this round of verification, as per the verification protocols.

4. Public disclosure

Towards verifying the public disclosure of data, the following modes of disclosure are accepted for the disbursement of funds - online disclosure, fixed displays, print, social media and public meetings. A questionnaire for the same was provided on the Atal Bhujal Yojana MIS for the collection of data. . It was observed that no data was disclosed by any of the 7 states in this round of verification, as per the verification protocols.

5. Computing 'successful occurrences' Against the achievement of DLI#1

As per the verification protocol, disbursement is to be

made towards the sub-indicators related to Water Level data, Water Quality data and Hydrogeological Reports,.





During the process of document verification of water level and water quality data, the data as disclosed on the MIS for the sampled blocks and was checked against the original data sources. Any mismatch between the two data points was noted as a discrepancy. In the case of water level data, only discrepancies related to pre- and post- monsoon data were noted. In the case of water quality data, only discrepancies related to EC/TDS, pH, Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃ and F data were noted.

Since the disbursement is in terms of number of wells for which data was correctly disclosed as per verification protocol, the percentage of discrepancies in the sample was extrapolated to the total number of wells for which data was disclosed. After extrapolation, an effective number of wells for Water level and Water Quality was achieved and considered as successful occurrences.

While evaluating Hydrogeological Reports, every report that is 'Complete' (attained a score of 20) was considered as a successful occurrence.

For a particular state, the summation of effective number of wells for Water Level, Water Quality, and 'complete' Hydrogeological Reports was taken as the total number of successful occurrences.

Table H: Calculation of Successful Occurrences under Re-assessment of the 2nd round of DLI#1

Sub-indicator of Disbursement	Definition of 'Successful Occurrence'
WATER LEVEL (A) 	Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = $\{1 - (\text{Percentage of discrepancies in water level data}) / 100\} \times (\text{Total number of observation wells for which data is disclosed as per the verification protocol})$
WATER QUALITY (B) 	Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = $\{1 - (\text{Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data}) / 100\} \times (\text{Total number of observation wells for which data is disclosed as per the verification protocol})$
HYDROGEOLOGICAL REPORT (C) 	Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological Report
CUMULATIVE NUMBER OF WELLS AND REPORTS (OCCURRENCES) QUALIFYING FOR INCENTIVE A, B, AND C 	= A+B+C

STATE – WISE SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF VERIFICATION

GUJARAT



In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **229** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **226**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Report disclosed is **0** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Gujarat comes out to be **226**.

In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **6** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **6**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **36** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Haryana comes out to be **6**

HARYANA



KARNATAKA



In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **96** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **95**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **41** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Karnataka comes out to be **95**.

In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **3** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **3**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.


The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **9** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Madhya Pradesh comes out to be **3**.

MADHYA PRADESH



MAHARASHTRA



In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **38** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Maharashtra comes out to be **0**.

In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **145** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **139**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **69** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **69**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **37** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **30**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Rajasthan comes out to be **238**.

RAJASTHAN



UTTAR PRADESH



In respect of water level, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **62** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **62**.

In respect of water quality, the total number of wells for which data is available as per the verification protocol is **0** and the effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved is **0**.

The total number of blocks for which the Hydrogeological Reports are disclosed is **26** while the total number of blocks that achieved completion of the Hydrogeological Report is **0**.

The total successful occurrences verified in respect of Uttar Pradesh comes out to be **62**.



BASELINE DATA AND VERIFIED INDICATORS

Table I: DLI#1 Baseline data and verified indicators after 2nd Round of Verification

State	Baseline for Occurrences				Occurrences after 1 st round of verification (2020)				Occurrences after 2 nd round of verification (2021) ⁵				Year on Year Improvement for disbursement of incentives			
	WL (A)	WQ (B)	HGR (C)	Total (D) = (A+B+C)	WL (A)	WQ (B)	HGR (C)	Total (D) = (A+B+C)	WL (A)	WQ (B)	HGR (C)	Total (D) = (A+B+C)	WL (A)	WQ (B)	HGR (C)	Total (D) = (A+B+C)
Gujarat	0	0	0	0	255	26	0	281	481	26	0	507	226	0	0	226
Haryana	0	0	0	0	611	117	0	728	617	117	0	734	6	0	0	6
Karnataka	0	0	0	0	295	123	14	432	390	123	14	527	95	0	0	95
Madhya Pradesh	0	0	0	0	185	33	4	222	188	33	4	225	3	0	0	3
Maharashtra	0	0	0	0	698	64	9	771	698	64	9	771	0	0	0	0
Rajasthan	0	0	0	0	380	403	0	783	519	472	30	1021	139	69	30	238
Uttar Pradesh	0	0	0	0	192	26	0	218	254	26	0	280	62	0	0	62
Total	0	0	0	0	2616	792	27	3435	3147	861	57	4065	531	69	30	630

The update of the incentivized OWs has been compiled at the block level. Hence, in order to establish consistency in the data, this report also provides year on year improvement in the data of the OWs.

The table above shows the data provided for DLI#1 for the year 2020 which includes WL, WQ and HGR, resulting in the **3435** successful occurrence. Subsequently, the data for 2021, shows the year on year improvement which includes WL, WQ and HGR, resulting in the additional **630** successful occurrences.

⁵Energy Meter or Volumetric Meter and Public Disclosure data was not provided by the states. Hence, it was not taken up for further assessment by the QCI for the verification of DLI#1

Chapter II

Disbursement Linked Indicator #2

Chapter 2 showcases the community participation, in preparation of water security plan at the Gram Panchayats.

This section also encloses framework designed by Quality Council of India (TPGVA) on assessing the extent of community participation exercised in Gram Panchayats in the process of preparing the Water Security Plans.



Disbursement Linked Indicator #2

A. APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY FOR VERIFICATION OF 2ND ROUND OF DLI#2

The verification for the 2nd round of DLI#2 was carried out based on protocols prepared in consultation with NPMU and along with the inputs given by the states as mentioned in annexure II. The verification for DLI#2 was conducted by QCI for the approved Water Security Plans (WSPs) prepared and submitted by the States after the preliminary round of desktop verification done by the NPMU.

To gauge the extent to which the process was followed in accordance with the scheme, QCI carried out the on-field verification of 20% of the total approved WSPs. A stratified random sample of 20% of the approved WSPs was done across all the districts to ensure uniformity and spatial distribution.

The on-field team consisted of both, the QCI empanelled Assessors and the QCI Professionals, who visited the Gram Panchayats for field assessment,. Additionally, over-shadow of the field assessment was done by the senior QCI professionals to make certain that the evaluation done on-ground was credible and uninfluenced.

The field verification included interviews of committee and community members. This was done in order to evaluate their scheme awareness and involvement in the preparation of the WSPs. The questionnaire prepared by QCI was based on the following two parameters:


a. Consultative Process: The Consultative Process included factors such as collective decision making in the preparation of WSPs and the suggestions given by the members during the meetings. To evaluate their participation in the

preparation of the WSPs, the committee and community members were enquired about their presence in the meetings and them being informed about water budget and WSP. The satisfaction of the respondents was assessed based on their convenience in participating in the meeting with respect to its timing and location. The respondents were also asked to rate their overall satisfaction with the meetings held under Atal Bhujal Yojana on a likert scale. The documentation of the meetings held and the IEC materials from the sampled Gram Panchayats were collected and checked by QCI during the field assessment.


b. Atal Bhujal Yojana Awareness: The Awareness of the respondents about the Atal Bhujal Yojana was evaluated by observing their basic knowledge about the water conservation techniques/ interventions, water budget and the WSP. The groundwater related issues faced and the sources of water used for domestic and agricultural purposes in their GPs were also observed as a part of the field verification process.

The results captured were classified into 'Adequate' and 'Needs Improvement' with respect to the process followed on ground for each of the two parameters i.e. Consultative Process and Atal Bhujal Yojana Awareness. The GPs found 'Adequate' for both the parameters mentioned above were considered as adequate for overall community participation assessment. The number of GPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment were extrapolated to the total number of uploaded WSPs.

ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE FOR 1ST ROUND OF DLI#2



Of the sampled GPs for Community Participation assessment, the following were considered to be 'Adequate'



GP considered 'Adequate' for Community Participation assessment

B. 2ND ROUND OF DLI#2 VERIFICATION RESULTS AND OBSERVATION


Table J: State Wise detailed break-up of results of verification for 2nd round of DLI#2

State	WSP Received	Total GP Assessed	Sample Result		WSPs After Extrapolation
			No. of GPs with Aggregate Result as 'Adequate'	% of GPs as 'Adequate' in Sample	
Gujarat	785	163	151	92.6%	727
Haryana	684	142	127	89.4%	611
Karnataka	0	0	0	0%	0
Madhya Pradesh	285	59	59	100%	285
Maharashtra	829	170	166	97.6%	809
Rajasthan	780	162	133	82.1%	640
Uttar Pradesh	0	0	0	0%	0
Total	3363	696	636		3072

C. STATE – WISE SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF VERIFICATION


GUJARAT

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 785. Out of these, 163 WSPs were taken at random for the field assessment by QCI, and 151 were found to be 'Adequate' for community participation assessment. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPs that were found to be "Adequate" is 727.




The key findings of the community participation assessment of 1372 community/committee members who attended WSP meeting:


Demographic Representation during Assessment




Occupation Representation during Assessment




Category Representation during Assessment



Age wise Representation during Assessment





 **AWARENESS** of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme
98.7%

 Out of **278** women participated in the assessment, **99.6%** of the women respondents were aware about the scheme

Out of **1094** male participated in the assessment, **98.5%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme



 **Voice** of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP
95.1%

 Out of **278** women participated in the assessment, **91.7%** of the female respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP

Out of **1094** male participated in the assessment, **96.0%** of the male respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



 **Socio Economic Indicators**


Out of **278** women participated in the assessment, it was reported that **65.4%** faced water related issues in both domestic and agricultural purposes. Also, **89.5%** of the women participants highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **1091** farmers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **60.6%** faced water related issues for irrigation. Also, **91.5%** of the farmers highlighted their issue in the meeting.


Out of **142** home makers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **69.0%** faced water related issues for domestic usage. Also, **91.8%** of the home makers highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **1091** respondent belonging to vulnerable group **95.1%** responded that they participated in decision making

• **Top 5 Interventions in Gujarat** •



Issues related to irrigation and domestic purpose



90.9% of respondents are satisfied with overall procedure and decision taken for the meeting

STATE OBSERVATIONS: GUJARAT

- Mobilization of community/committee members:**

The mobilization was done by DIPs with the help of respective DPMU officials.

On an average of 8-9 members were present for Community Participation Assessment across all the GPs that were assessed in the present cycle. There were few issues faced in Kachchh district in terms of mobilisation due to high temperature, but was dealt properly by planning effectively.

- DIP's Participation:**

The DIP's were present in the Atal Bhujal districts where the field verification was done. Mehsana DIP's were unable to provide with MOM's as they have maintained single register for the entire district and there were no clear GP-wise segregation done.

- Scheme Awareness:**

The respondents were aware about the scheme across all the districts, women participation was

also observed in good numbers. The awareness about WSP/Water Budget showed the active participation of the community as well as DIP/Gram Panchayat Officials. IEC material was present in the forms of wall paintings, pamphlets, flyers etc. in majority of the GPs that were assessed.

- Women participation during the interviews:**

It was observed that the presence of women was only 20% in the assessment. Also, majority of the women who were interviewed were not much aware of water conservation techniques used in their respective gram panchayats.

- Issues/needs related to ground water:**

The major issue which was raised across the districts through the community participation assessment was drying of Ponds/Tanks/Wells /Hand-pumps and declining of water level.


HARYANA

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 684. Out of these, 142 WSPs were taken at random for the field assessment by QCI, and 127 were found to be 'Adequate' for community participation assessment. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPs that were found to be "Adequate" is 611.




The key findings of the community participation assessment of **1337** community/committee members who attended WSP meeting:


Demographic Representation during Assessment




Occupation Representation during Assessment




Category Representation during Assessment



Age wise Representation during Assessment





 **AWARENESS** of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme
98.7%

 Out of **538** women participated in the assessment, **97.7%** of the women respondents were aware about the scheme

Out of **799** male participated in the assessment, **99.3%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme



 **Voice** of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP
93.1%

 Out of **538** women participated in the assessment, **91.9%** of the female respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP

Out of **799** male participated in the assessment, **94.1%** of the male respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



 **Socio Economic Indicators**


Out of **538** women participated in the assessment, it was reported that **67.1%** faced water related issues in both domestic and agricultural purposes. Also, **84.4%** of the women participants highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **617** farmers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **61.5%** faced water related issues for irrigation. Also, **90.0%** of the farmers highlighted their issue in the meeting.


Out of **217** home makers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **66.3%** faced water related issues for domestic usage. Also, **83.3%** of the home makers highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **975** respondent belonging to vulnerable group **93.2%** responded that they participated in decision making

Top 5 Interventions in Haryana



Issues related to irrigation and domestic purpose



85.4% of respondents are satisfied with overall procedure and decision taken for the meeting

STATE OBSERVATIONS: HARYANA

• Mobilization of community/committee members:

The overall participation of community and committee members for Haryana was upto the mark for a large extent . Average 10 members were present for community and committee participation. Both the committee and community members were gathered at the given time. Mobilisation of community was done easily at Panchayat level with the help of D.I.P. and D.P.M.U officials.

• DIP's Participation:

DIP was active in the entire State.The DIPs were present in all the Atal Bhujal districts where the field verification was done.DPMU officials were cooperative and issues were sorted out at the earliest.

• Scheme Awareness:

The community and committee participants who have attended the meeting were aware about the

scheme, whereas while assessing the random citizens it was seen that most of them had little or no knowledge about the scheme. The women respondents who were present for the meeting were well aware about the scheme and WSPs prepared in their respective GPs.

• Women participation during the interviews:

It was observed that the women were adequately present during assessment. Also, majority of the women who were interviewed were aware about water issues and water saving techniques currently used in their respective gram panchayat.

• Issues/needs related to ground water:

The major issue which was raised in different districts through the community participation assessment was Water quality related health problems , Declining of water level and drying of ponds/tanks/well.

KARNATAKA

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 0.




MADHYA PRADESH

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 285. Out of these, 59 WSPs were taken at random for the field assessment by QCI, and 59 were found to be 'Adequate' for community participation assessment. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPs that were found to be "Adequate" is 285.




The key findings of the community participation assessment of 547 community/committee members who attended WSP meeting:


Demographic Representation during Assessment




Occupation Representation during Assessment




Category Representation during Assessment



Age wise Representation during Assessment





 **AWARENESS** of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme
98.5%

 Out of **194** women participated in the assessment, **97.9%** of the women respondents were aware about the scheme

Out of **353** male participated in the assessment, **98.8%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme



 **Voice** of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP
96.9%

 Out of **194** women participated in the assessment, **95.0%** of the female respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP

Out of **353** male participated in the assessment, **98.2%** of the male respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



 **Socio Economic Indicators**


Out of **194** women participated in the assessment, it was reported that **93.3%** faced water related issues in both domestic and agricultural purposes. Also, **86.1%** of the women participants highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **262** farmers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **95.8%** faced water related issues for irrigation. Also, **83.6%** of the farmers highlighted their issue in the meeting.


Out of **21** home makers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **85.7%** faced water related issues for domestic usage. Also, **94.4%** of the home makers highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **444** respondent belonging to vulnerable group **96.6%** responded that they participated in decision making

• **Top 5 Interventions in Madhya Pradesh** •



Issues related to irrigation and domestic purpose



95.6% of respondents are satisfied with overall procedure and decision taken for the meeting

STATE OBSERVATIONS: MADHYA PRADESH

- **Mobilization of community/committee members:**

The mobilization was done by DIPs with the help of respective DPMU Officials. Both the committee and community members were gathered at the set time. There were no issues faced by the state in mobilizing the people of the respective gram panchayat.

- **DIP's presence and performance:**

There is a strong DIP presence across the state. They were very active throughout the assessment process.

- **General Awareness about the scheme:**

The people were quite aware about the scheme across all the districts. The women were more aware about the scheme and the terms like Water Budget/Water Security Plan than the men who have attended the meeting.

- **Women participation during the interviews:**

The women participation was less as compared to the male participation for the interviews. Out of the women who were interviewed, most of the women participated and highlighted the water issues in their respective gram panchayats.


- **Issues/needs related to ground water:** The major issue which was raised across the districts through the community participation assessment was drying of Ponds/Tanks/Wells /Hand-pumps and declining of water level.

- **Presence of IEC material:**

In Sagar and Panna district, the IEC material was present across all the gram panchayats. In Chattarpur district, there were no IEC present in GPs like Neguwan, Nayagaon, Vikram Pur, Bandhi Kala and Manpura. In Niwari district, there was lack of IEC material across all the GPs assessed (Eg. Ramnagar, Pathari)


MAHARASHTRA

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 829. Out of these, 170 WSPs were taken at random for the field assessment by QCI, and 166 were found to be 'Adequate' for community participation assessment. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPs that were found to be "Adequate" is 809.




The key findings of the community participation assessment of **1564** community/committee members who attended WSP meeting


Demographic Representation during Assessment




Occupation Representation during Assessment



Category Representation during Assessment



Age wise Representation during Assessment



AWARENESS of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme



99.4%

of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme

Out of **708** women participated in the assessment, **99.0%** of the women respondents were aware about the scheme



Out of **856** male participated in the assessment, **99.8%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme



Voice of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP



95.0%

of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP

Out of **708** women participated in the assessment, **94.34%** of the female respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



Out of **856** male participated in the assessment, **96.0%** of the male respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



Socio Economic Indicators




Out of **708** women participated in the assessment, it was reported that **87.0%** faced water related issues in both domestic and agricultural purposes. Also, **90.4%** of the women participants highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **1057** farmers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **79.4%** faced water related issues for irrigation. Also, **95.8%** of the farmers highlighted their issue in the meeting.


Out of **199** home makers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **86.4%** faced water related issues for domestic usage. Also, **82.5%** of the home makers highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **1205** respondent belonging to vulnerable group **94.0%** responded that they participated in decision making.

Top 5 Interventions in Maharashtra



Issues related to irrigation and domestic purpose



92.3% of respondents are satisfied with overall procedure and decision taken for the meeting

STATE OBSERVATIONS: MAHARASHTRA

Mobilization of community/committee members:

The mobilization was done by DIPs with the help of respective DPMU Officials. Both the committee and community members were gathered at the set time. The turnout of the respondents for the assessment was adequate. However, there were some issues faced in mobilization due to unavailability of GP officials in district like Solapur.

DIP's Participation:

The DIPs were present in all the Atal Bhujal districts where the field verification was done. They were active in mobilising the committee and community members for the verification.

Scheme Awareness:

The respondents were aware about the scheme across all the districts. The women respondents were well aware about the scheme and the WSPs prepared in their respective GPs.

Women participation during the interviews:


It was observed that the presence of women participants as compared to male participants was adequate in the assessment.

Issues/needs related to ground water:

The major issues which were raised in different districts through the community participation assessment were Water quality related health problems, declining of water level and drying of ponds/tanks/well.


RAJASTHAN

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 780. Out of these, 162 WSPs were taken at random for the field assessment by QCI and 133 were found to be 'Adequate' for community participation assessment. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPs that were found to be "Adequate" is 640.




The key findings of the community participation assessment of **1222** community/committee members who attended WSP meeting


Demographic Representation during Assessment




Occupation Representation during Assessment




Category Representation during Assessment



Age wise Representation during Assessment





 **AWARENESS** of respondents were found to be aware about the scheme
97.5%

 Out of **372** women participated in the assessment, **95.1%** of the women respondents were aware about the scheme

Out of **850** male participated in the assessment, **98.5%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme



 **Voice** of respondents were directly involved in decision making for preparation of WSP
93.0%

 Out of **372** women participated in the assessment, **91.4%** of the female respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP

Out of **850** male participated in the assessment, **93.6%** of the male respondents were directly involved in decision making for the preparation of WSP



 **Socio Economic Indicators**


Out of **372** women participated in the assessment, it was reported that **91.4%** faced water related issues in both domestic and agricultural purposes. Also, **87.9%** of the women participants highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **547** farmers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **73.8%** faced water related issues for irrigation. Also, **95.5%** of the farmers highlighted their issue in the meeting.


Out of **107** home makers participated in the assessment, it was reported that **75.7%** faced water related issues for domestic usage. Also, **88.8%** of the home makers highlighted their issue in the meeting.

Out of **1080** respondent belonging to vulnerable group **92.1%** responded that they participated in decision making

Top 5 Interventions in Rajasthan



Issues related to irrigation and domestic purpose



87.3% of respondents are satisfied with overall procedure and decision taken for the meeting

STATE OBSERVATIONS: RAJASTHAN

• **Mobilization of community/committee members:**

The mobilization was done by DPMU officials as there are no DIPs present in Rajasthan. However, there were some issues faced in mobilization due to unavailability of GP officials in some of the districts like Ajmer, Kota, Baran and Alwar.

• **Transportation issue:**

It was observed during our assessment that due to lack of transportation, issues were faced in Districts like Alwar, Baran and Sawai Madhopur which led to delay in assessment.

• **DIP's Participation:**

There are no DIPs present in Rajasthan. However, DPMU officials were corporative and were also present in the Atal Bhujal districts where the field verification was done.

• **Scheme Awareness:**

Overall, the respondents were well aware about the scheme. However, In some of the GPs respondents lacked awareness about the scheme in districts like Ajmer, Kota and Alwar Districts.

• **Women participation during the interviews:**


It was observed that the presence of women participants as compared to male participants was less in the assessment.

• **Issues/needs related to ground water:**

The major issue which was raised across the districts through the community participation assessment was with respect of water and declining of water level.

UTTAR PRADESH

Number of approved Water Security Plans submitted by the state was 0.



CONCLUSION

A. 2nd ROUND OF VERIFICATION

The TPGVA i.e. the Quality Council of India carried out its 2nd round of verification for the Disbursement Linked Indicators, from 1st April 2022 to 18th May 2022. The verification for the DLIs was formulated and implemented ensuring utmost transparency and authenticity, drawn from the previous rounds of assessments. It was made sure that the legitimacy of the verification was maintained through backend inspection and verification of the documents throughout assessment period.

Verification Components of the DLIs

DLI#1 envisages to incentivise the collection, processing and dissemination of groundwater related data in the seven chosen states. The following sub-

indicators mentioned in the Verification Protocol were considered in the 2nd round of verification:

- Number of OWs in the program blocks for which water level data is available
- Number of OWs in the program blocks for which water quality data is available
- Number of program blocks for which 'Hydrogeological Reports' with information pertaining to ground water level and water quality monitoring is available
- Number of OWs equipped with functional energy consumption or volumetric ground water uses meters.
- Public disclosure of data by the states.

Table K: State Wise Detailed Break-up of DLI#1 sub Indicators viz. Water Level Data, Water Quality Data and Hydrogeological Reports; and the Successful Occurrences for Disbursement of Incentives for 2nd round of DLI#1

State	Water Level Data			Water Quality Data			HGR			No. of Successful Occurrences for 2nd Round of DLI#1 (C + F + I)
	No. of new OWs for which data was submitted (A)	No. of new OWs that passed the protocol requirement (B)	Effective No. of new OWs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (C)	No. of new OWs for which data was submitted (D)	No. of new OWs that passed the protocol requirement (E)	Effective No. of new OWs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (F)	No. of Blocks (G)	No. of HGR Disclosed (H)	Effective No. of new HGRs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (I)	
Gujarat	241	229	226	419	0	0	36	0	0	226
Haryana	17	6	6	122	0	0	36	36	0	6
Karnataka	599	96	95	415	0	0	41	41	0	95

State	Water Level Data			Water Quality Data			HGR			No. of Successful Occurrences for 2nd Round of DLI#1 (C + F + I)
	No. of new OWs for which data was submitted (A)	No. of new OWs that passed the protocol requirement (B)	Effective No. of new OWs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (C)	No. of new OWs for which data was submitted (D)	No. of new OWs that passed the protocol requirement (E)	Effective No. of new OWs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (F)	No. of Blocks (G)	No. of HGR Disclosed (H)	Effective No. of new HGRs for disbursement of incentives under DLI#1 (I)	
Madhya Pradesh	3	3	3	167	0	0	9	9	0	3
Maharashtra	2914	0	0	0	0	0	38	38	0	0
Rajasthan	338	145	139	232	69	69	38	37	30	238
Uttar Pradesh	196	62	62	Data not Submitted		0	26	26	0	62
Total	4308	541	531	1355	69	69	224	187	30	630

DLI#2 aims to incentivize the roll out of a standardized bottom up approach for groundwater planning process through community-led WSPs at GP level. The verification was carried out by assessing the extent of community participation in the preparation of WSPs.

Only the GPs found to be 'Adequate' in the parameters of 'consultative approach' and 'scheme awareness' were considered to have an adequate level of community participation in the preparation of the WSPs.

A. GUJARAT



1. Verification Results

DLI#1 - For the state of Gujarat, the successful occurrence for water level is 226 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 0



DLI#2 – The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 157. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPS that were found to be "Adequate" is 727.

2. The key findings of the Random Observation Well Survey and Random Citizen Feedback Survey are as follows:

Total number of citizens interviewed: **828**



- **43%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme
- **43%** of the female respondents were aware about the scheme
- **38%** of respondents belonging to vulnerable group were aware about scheme
- **24** wells were found in well inventory of 163 assessed GPs



- Accessible Wells ■ Functional Wells ■ Wells with Signage
- Bore Well ■ Piezometer ■ Dug Well ■ Dug cum Borewell

B. HARYANA


1. Verification Results

DLI#1 - For the state of Haryana, the successful occurrence for water level is 6 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 0.


DLI#2 – The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 127. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPS that were found to be "Adequate" is 611.

2. The key findings of the Random Observation Well Survey and Citizen Feedback Survey are as follows:


Total number of citizens interviewed: **737**




- **49%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme
- **42%** of the female respondents were aware about the scheme



- **48%** of respondents belonging to vulnerable group were aware about scheme
- **34** wells were found in well inventory of 142 assessed Gps



- Accessible Wells
- Functional Wells
- Wells with Signage



- Bore Well
- Dug Well
- Dug cum Borewell

C. KARNATAKA

1. Verification Results

DLI#1 - For the state of Karnataka, the successful occurrence for water level is 95 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 0

DLI#2 - The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 0.

D. MADHYA PRADESH

1. Verification Results


DLI#1 - For the state of Madhya Pradesh, the successful occurrence for water level is 3 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 0

DLI#2 – The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 59. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPS that were found to be "Adequate" is 285.


2. The key findings of the Random Observation Well Survey and Citizen Feedback Survey are as follows:

Total number of citizens interviewed: **294**

Gender Presentation during Assessment




Categorywise Presentation during Assessment




- **41%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme
- **42%** of the female respondents were aware about the scheme

- **37%** of respondents belonging to vulnerable group were aware about scheme
- **14** wells were found in well inventory of 59 assessed Gps

Accessibility of OWs during random field assessment



Types of OWs during random field assessment



■ Accessible Wells ■ Functional Wells ■ Wells with Signage

■ Bore Well ■ Piezometer ■ Dug Well ■ Dug cum Borewell

E. MAHARASHTRA

1. Verification Results


DLI#1 - For the state of Maharashtra, the successful occurrence for water level is 0 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 0

DLI#2 – The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 166. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPS that were found to be "Adequate" is 809.

2. The key findings of the Random Observation Well Survey and Citizen Feedback Survey are as follows:


Total number of citizens interviewed: **862**

Gender Presentation during Assessment




- **53%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme
- **54%** of the female respondents were aware about the scheme

Categorywise Presentation during Assessment




- **51%** of respondents belonging to vulnerable group were aware about scheme
- **141** wells were found in well inventory of 162 assessed Gps

Accessibility of OWs during random field assessment



- Accessible Wells
- Functional Wells
- Wells with Signage

Types of OWs during random field assessment



- Dug Well
- Bore Well
- Dug cum Borewell

F. RAJASTHAN

1. Verification Results


DLI#1 - For the state of Rajasthan, the successful occurrence for water level is 139 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 69. Successful occurrence for Hydrogeological Report is 30

DLI#2 - The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 133. Further, the extrapolated count of gram panchayats over the submitted WSPS that were found to be "Adequate" is 640.

2. The key findings of the Random Observation Well Survey and Citizen Feedback Survey are as follows:


Total number of citizens interviewed: **814**

Gender Presentation during Assessment




- **25%** of the male respondents were aware about the scheme
- **30%** of the female respondents were aware about the scheme

Categorywise Presentation during Assessment




- **26%** of respondents belonging to vulnerable group were aware about scheme
- **43** wells were found in well inventory of 162 assessed Gps

Accessibility of OWs during random field assessment



Types of OWs during random field assessment



■ Accessible Wells ■ Functional Wells ■ Wells with Signage

■ Bore Well ■ Piezometer ■ Dug Well ■ Dug cum Borewell

G. UTTAR PRADESH

DLI#1 - For the state of Uttar Pradesh, the successful occurrence for water level is 62 and the successful occurrence for water quality is 0. Successful occurrence Hydrogeological Report is 0.

DLI#2 - The number of GPs found 'Adequate' in the Community Participation assessment is 0.



ANNEXURES

DLI#1 Verification Protocol

DLI#1 Verification Protocol for 2nd round of Assessment

A. BACKGROUND:

Quality Council of India (QCI), as Third Party Government Verification Agency (TPGVA) for Atal Bhujal Yojana has conducted two rounds of verification for DLI#1. The 1st round was conducted in December 2020 and 2nd round was conducted in October 2021. The 2nd round of assessment was conducted from 1st October 2021 to 10th October 2021. The re-assessment of the 2nd round of verification was conducted from 1st April 2022 to 11th April 2022. A comprehensive verification

protocol was developed for both the rounds and was followed during the analysis of the desktop verification as well as the field verification by QCI.

The protocol 2nd Round for verification of achievements against DLI#1, has been developed based on the Program Guidelines Ver.1.1, as well as the Program Appraisal Document of the World Bank and incorporating the observations and feedback from previous verification is as below:

Table 1: Verification protocol for DLI #1

Description of DLI-1	A State is verified to have achieved the DLI if the monitoring and disclosure of ground water-related data has improved.
Scalability of Disbursements (Yes/No)	Yes
Data Source/Agency	State and Central (e.g., CGWB) Governments records and data verification
Verification Entity	TPGVA
Procedure for Verification for DLI-1	
<p>Only the selected states/blocks/GP will be considered for the DLI. For a given state/block/GP the achievement of the DLI will be measured using two sub-indicators on (a) groundwater monitoring and disclosure of data (b) disclosure of block-wise groundwater reports</p> <p>Groundwater monitoring and disclosure of data: This sub-indicator is defined as (i) the number of observation wells for water levels measurement and water quality sampling locations for which water quality and quantity data are available and disclosed; and (ii) the number of wells equipped with functional meters for energy consumption or volumetric groundwater usage.</p> <p>Public availability of groundwater reports: This sub-indicator is defined as the number of block-level groundwater quality and quantity monitoring reports made publicly available online.</p> <p>A state/Block/GP can qualify for this DLI in multiple years.</p>	

- The verification protocols developed for this round of verification of DLI#1 (a separate from previous rounds) was and shared with the IAs. This protocol shall be read in continuation of the protocol developed for previous rounds of verification of DLI#1
- This round of verification would include year-on-year improvements on indicators verified in the previous rounds

B. PROTOCOL FOR VERIFICATION FOR 2ND ROUND OF DLI-1

1. SPMU uploaded the data in respect of all the sub-indicators of DLI#1 on 'DLI Verification module' of Ataljal MIS (ataljal.mowr.gov.in) in prescribed formats on 30th September 2021, as directed by NPMU, DoWR, MoJS.

Updation of water level data for pre and post-monsoon period of 2020 for at least 70% of observation wells disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification shall be mandatory.
2. The achievement of DLI#1 shall be verified with respect to year-on-year increase in the following sub-indicators:
 - a. No. of observation wells in the program blocks for which water level data, monitored using a functional measuring device is available
 - b. No. of observation wells in the program blocks for which water quality data is available
 - c. No. of program blocks for which 'Hydrogeological Reports' with information pertaining to groundwater level and water quality monitoring is available
 - d. No. of State-level accredited groundwater quality labs
 - e. No. of wells equipped with functional meters for measuring energy consumption or volumetric groundwater usage
 - f. Public Disclosure of data by States through different modes and mechanisms
3. For this round of verification for achievement of DLI-1, the criteria of disbursements with respect to each sub-indicator is as follows:
 - a. **Water Level (Template provided in Annexure – IA)**

Qualifying Criteria for the State(s) for this round of DLI-1 Verification:

Updation of water level data for pre and post-monsoon period of 2020 for at least 70% of observation wells disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification shall be mandatory.

 - i. The observation wells which were shared by the State(s) for the 1st round of verification but were not disclosed on the MIS as they did not meet the criteria would be eligible for disbursements after their data is reconciled
 - ii. Existing observation wells with historical data from 2015 which were not shared by the State(s) for the previous rounds of verification, will be eligible for incentives during this round
 - iii. New observation wells established after the 1st round of verification can also be disclosed for verification in this round along with both pre and post-monsoon data of 2020

** To be eligible under (i) and (ii), observations wells should have a minimum of 8 data points (pre-and post-monsoon) for the period 2015-2019 and 2 data points (pre-and post-monsoon) for the year 2020.
 - b. **Water Quality (Template provided in Annexure - IA)**

Qualifying Criteria for the State(s) for this round of DLI-1 Verification:

Updation of water quality data of 2020 for at least 70% of observation wells disclosed on the MIS after the 1st round of verification shall be mandatory.

 - i. The observation wells which were shared by the State(s) for the 1st round of verification but were not disclosed on the MIS as they did not meet the criteria would be eligible for disbursements after their data is reconciled

- ii. Existing observation wells with historical data from 2015 which were not shared by the State(s) for the 1st round of verification can be submitted and will be eligible for incentives during the third round
- iii. New Observation wells established after 1st round of verification can also be disclosed for verification in the 2nd round with data of pH, EC / TDS, Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃ and F for the year 2020

** To be eligible under (i) and (ii), the observation wells should have at least 3 years data (EC/TDS together with at least 3 major cations/anions out of- Ca, Mg, Na, K, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃, F) for the period 2015 to 2019 and data inputs of pH, EC / TDS, Ca, Mg, Na, K, CO₃, HCO₃, Cl, SO₄, NO₃ and F for the year 2020.

c. Hydrogeological Reports

For the reports that are disclosed, computation of incentives shall be done based on the following categorization:

Table 2: Year-on-year categorization for public disclosure of reports

S No	Sub-indicator of disbursement	Categorization based on wells qualified in the previous rounds of verification	Nature of component
1	No. of program blocks for which 'Hydrogeological Reports' with information pertaining to ground water level and water quality monitoring is available	Block-level reports qualified during the previous round of verification and are updated	Mandatory and non-disbursing
		New Block-level reports that are disclosed	Qualified for Incentive based on the number of new reports disclosed

For the purpose of this round of verification, the criteria for disbursements with respect to this sub-indicator is as follows: Adherence to the template shared with the states shall be the basis for verification of block-wise hydrogeological reports with water level and water quality monitoring information. Each report submitted by the states shall be evaluated for:

- i. Completeness of basic information
- ii. Availability of 6 maps (Location map, Hydrogeological map, location of observation wells for monitoring water levels and water quality, pre-and post-monsoon water level maps, map showing distribution of Specific Electrical Conductance. The map showing cross-section of sub surface regionalised aquifer groups is optional and may be included if available.

iii. Availability of 3 Tables (Basic data of WL/WQ observation wells, ground water level data (2015-2020) and ground water quality data (2015-2020)). It may be ensured that the water level and water quality data in the Hydrogeological reports should be consistent with the data uploaded by the States in the MIS of Atal Bhujal Yojana

The maps in the hydrogeological reports should be updated for the pre and post monsoon for the period 2015-2020

The hydrogeological reports already disclosed during the 1st round of verification are to be updated with water level and quality data for the year 2020 and uploaded on the MIS

d. Water Quality Labs (Template provided in Annexure – IA)

The number of water quality labs accredited and equipped with modern groundwater quality monitoring systems shall be recorded. The accreditation of National Accreditation Board for Laboratories (NABL) shall be validated through accreditation reports by NABL.

e. No. of Wells equipped with functional meters for measuring energy consumption or volumetric groundwater usage (Template provided in Annexure – IA)

As far as wells fitted with functional meters for measuring energy consumption or volumetric groundwater usage are concerned, eligibility is based on the number of such wells identified. Availability of proof of the existence of the meter(s) in the form of geo-tagged photographs shall be necessary for them to qualify for disbursement. In the case of wells with energy meters, proof in the form of

electricity bills for the last 6 months shall be mandatory. In case of water meters, records of registers where the data is being recorded shall be collected as proof.

f. PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

The data/reports which have been disclosed against DLI-1 and also verified by QCI in the 1st round is available in the Atal Bhujal Yojana portal ('Data Disclosure' tab) and can be accessed/downloaded freely. For the benefit of the community, the data needs to be made public through various means such as placing on state web portals, putting notice boards with data at appropriate places including Panchayat office, posters, banners, printed booklets, pamphlets/leaflets, holding public meetings as well as using social media platforms.

Towards verifying the Public Disclosure of data, the following modes of disclosure are accepted for the disbursement of funds:

Table 3: Acceptable modes of public disclosure under DLI #1

S No	Mode of Public Disclosure	Details	Frequency of Disclosure	Verification Methodology
Disbursing Indicators				
1	Online Disclosure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atal Bhujal Yojana portal Web portals of states 	Semi-annual	Checking the disclosure semi-annually
2	Fixed Displays	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gram Panchayat office notice boards Posters/Flexes Boards near observation wells on Water Levels and Water Quality. Boards to also indicate if water is potable or not. 	Semi-annual	Geo-tagged images
3	Print	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pamphlets/Leaflets 	semi-annual	Images of pamphlets / leaflets
Non-Disbursing Indicators				
4	Social Media	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Creating GP specific channels on Facebook Channels on WhatsApp 		

ANNEXURE IA: Templates for Data Collection

1. Template for Uploading Water Level Data of Observation Wells

WATER LEVEL DATA	S. No.		
	State		
	District		
	Block		
	GP		
	Village		
	Type		
	Source		
	Well ID		
	Site Name		
	Latitude		
	Longitude		
	Well Depth (m bgl)		
	Aquifer type/ formation		
	Pre_2015 (May) (m bgl)		
	Pst_2015 (Nov) (m bgl)		
	Pre_2016 (May) (m bgl)		
	Pst_2016 (Nov) (m bgl)		
	Pre_2017 (May) (m bgl)		
	Pst_2017 (Nov) (m bgl)		
	Pre_2018 (May) (m bgl)		
	Pst_2018 (Nov) (m bgl)		
	Pre_2019 (May) (m bgl)		
Pst_2019 (Nov) (m bgl)			
Pre_2020 (May) (m bgl)			
Pst_2020 (Nov) (m bgl)			

2. Template for Uploading Water Quality Data of observation wells

WATER QUALITY DATA	State						
	District						
	Block						
	GP						
	Village						
	Site Name						
	Type of well						
	Source						
	Well ID						
	Latitude						
	Longitude						
	Well Depth (m bgl)						
	Aquifer type/ formation						
	Year (2015 - 2020)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	pH						
	EC (uS/cm)						
	TDS (mg/l)						
	Total Hardness (mg/l)						
	Calcium (mg/l)						
	Magnesium (mg/l)						
	Sodium (mg/l)						
	Potassium (mg/l)						
	Carbonate (mg/l)						
	Bicarbonate (mg/l)						
	Sulphate (mg/l)						
	Chloride (mg/l)						
Fluoride(mg/l)							
Nitrate (mg/l)							

3. Template for Uploading Water Quality Testing Laboratories

WATER TESTING LAB	Name of Accredited Water Testing Lab	Laboratory ID	Certificate No.	Lab Address	Ownership (CGWB/ SGWD/ Private/ Other)	Name of the Accreditation Body	Date of Accreditation (dd/mm/yyyy)	Accreditation Valid up to (dd/mm/yyyy)	No. of samples analyzed annually

4. Template for Uploading Data in Respect of Water / Energy Meters

OBSERVATION WELL WITH ENERGY / WATER METER													
Please note: All the photographs uploaded should have a unique ID with respect to Meter/Owner's name													
State	District	Block	GP	Village	Name of the Owner of the Well	Type of well {Energy Consumption Meter (energy meter) / Volumetric Groundwater usage meter (water meter)}	Latitude	Longitude	Type of Meter Installed {Energy Consumption Meter (energy meter) / Volumetric Groundwater usage meter (water meter)}	Energy/Water Meter Geotagged Photograph	Date of Installation (DD/MM/YYYY)	Photograph of Consumption Meter (energy meter) Bill / Photograph of Volumetric Groundwater usage meter (water meter) Bill Reading (Photograph 1)	Photograph of Energy Consumption Meter (energy meter) Bill / Photograph of Volumetric Groundwater usage meter (water meter) Bill Reading (Photograph 2)

5. Hydrogeological Report Template

Basic Information

Parameter Score: (/5)

All the 10 sub-parameters carry a score of 0.5 each, based on whether they are complete or not

S.No.	Sub-parameter	Details
1.	General Information	Information related to the block population, type of soil, number of Gram Panchayats, villages and towns, rainfall and river basin
2.	Land Use	Information related to forested area, net sown, cultivable and gross cropped area
3.	Cropping Pattern (as in 2020)	Information related to major kharif and rabi crops grown in the kharif and rabi seasons, summer and perennial seasons
4.	Irrigation Facilities (as in 2020)	Information related to net and gross irrigated area, sources of irrigation
5.	Geology and Hydrogeology	Information related to types of rocks, sand and clay, as well as geological and water bearing formations and aquifer characteristics
6.	Ground Water Conditions	Information related to the number of wells which are monitored for ground water level, monitoring mechanism and frequency, period of data availability, water level and water level fluctuation range of the villages falling in the given block
7.	Ground Water Quality	Information regarding the groundwater status in the given block. It specifies the parameters for which the block groundwater is monitored, the period of monitoring and frequency of the same, along with the number of wells which are monitored and whether they fall under SGWD or CGWB. Moreover, it gives the information regarding the major problems related to ground water quality in the area
8.	Ground Water Resources	Information related to the state of groundwater availability in the block and extent of exploitation. It provides statistical information related to annual groundwater extraction in ha.m and the percentage of extraction (which reflects the extent of exploitation)
9.	Water-Related Schemes	Central and State government water related schemes which are applicable in the block
10.	Ground Water- Related Issues	Issues related to water availability and quality

Maps

Parameter Score: (/9)

The parameter of Maps is related to representation of various themes as given below:

All the 6 sub-parameters carry a score of 1.5 each, based on whether they are complete or not

S.No	Details
11	Location map of the Block/Taluk
12	Hydrogeological map of the Block/Taluk
13	Locations of observation wells for monitoring water levels and water quality
14	Map showing distribution of WL (m.bgl) for Pre-monsoon period
15	Map showing distribution of WL (m.bgl) for Post-monsoon period
16	Map showing distribution of EC (μ S/cm at 25) in ground water
17	Map showing Cross-section of subsurface regionalized aquifer groups (Optional)

Tables

Parameter Score: (/6)

All the 3 sub-parameters carry a score of 2 each, based on whether they are available or not

S.No.	Sub-parameter	Details
18	Basic data of observation wells for monitoring water level and water quality as submitted in the MIS	Information of wells in terms of 1. Well IDs, Village Names, Site Names and GP. 2. Location of wells with the help of latitude and longitude. 3. Purpose of monitoring of wells (Water Level and/or Water Quality)
19	Historical data of water level in observation wells for the last 5 years or from the year of establishment as submitted in the MIS	Data related to historical pre-monsoon and post-monsoon water level data of these wells between the years 2015 and 2020
20	Historical data of ground water quality (pH, EC, TDS, important cations & anions) as submitted in the MIS	Historical water quality data of these wells between the years 2015 and 2020 related to: 1. pH, EC/TDS data for 6 years 2. Anion and Cation concentration data for the years 2015-2020: Ca, Mg, Na, K, HCO ₃ , Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ , F, CO ₃

ANNEXURE IB: STATE – WISE RESULTS

A. GUJARAT

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	6
Blocks	36
Gram Panchayats	2001

Table 4: Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Gujarat



Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Gujarat




Figure 1: Data Flow for Water Level in Gujarat Water Resources Development Corporation and CGWB, Gujarat

Water Level Data Verification in Gujarat

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Gujarat	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	229	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	48	17
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	576	198
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	576	198
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	151
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	11
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	576	349
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	3.15 %
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	1.19%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	98.81 %	
Successful Occurrences	226	




Figure 2: Data flow for Water Quality in GWRDC and CGWB, Gujarat

Water Quality Data Verification in Gujarat

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Gujarat	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Gujarat
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	0
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	0

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Gujarat

Water Level (A)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 229</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved</p> <p>= {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(1.19/100))*(229)</p> <p>= 226</p>
Water Quality (B)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020)</p> <p>= NA</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved</p> <p>= {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0)</p> <p>NA</p>
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	<p>Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 0</p> <p>Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0</p>
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	226

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

In the case of additional wells, for 12 no. of wells the pre-monsoon/post-monsoon data points for 2020 was not present.

state updated only 65% of the data and did not meet the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as per the protocol.

Water Quality

Out of 26 wells that are publicly disclosed in 1st round of verification, data for only 17 wells were updated. The

HGR

No Hydrogeological reports submitted by the State for 2nd round of DLI#1 verification.

B. HARYANA

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	14
Blocks	36
Gram Panchayats	1669

Table 5: Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Haryana





Figure 3: Data Flow for Water Level in Haryana Ground Water Cell and CGWB, Haryana

Water Level Data Verification in Haryana

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Haryana	
	SGWB	CGWB
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	6	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	6	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	100.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	6	




Figure 4: Data Flow for Water Quality in Haryana Ground Water Cell and CGWB, Haryana

Water Quality Data Verification in Haryana

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Haryana	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Haryana
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	0
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	36
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	36

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Haryana

Water Level (A)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 6</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(6) = 6</p>
Water Quality (B)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = NA</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) NA</p>
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	<p>Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 36 Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0</p>
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	6

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

In the case of additional wells, the latitude & longitude was not present for 1 well out of 17 additional wells submitted.

Water Quality

The state meets the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as per the protocol, that is out of 118 wells that were publicly disclosed on MIS in 1st round of verification, 96 wells were updated. However, in case of new additional wells, data for Potassium and Sodium is not present the data and did not meet the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as per the protocol.

HGR

- Table 1 consisting basic data of water level and water quality wells is not present in the HGR.
- Well IDs are not present in the HGR and the MIS.
- In Table 3 consisting historical water quality data of monitoring well, for SGWD wells only EC data is present for 2 years i.e., 2020 and 2021. EC data for historical years and Ions' data points for all the years (2015-2020) is not present. For CGWB wells, year 2020 data for water quality is not present in the HGR.

C. KARNATAKA

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	14
Blocks	41
Gram Panchayats	1199

Table 6: Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Karnataka




Figure 5: Data Flow for Water Level in Karnataka Water Directorate and CGWB, Karnataka

Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Karnataka

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Karnataka	
	SGWB	CGWB
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	96	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	40	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	348	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	342	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	173	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	6	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	515	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	1.17%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	1.17%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	98.83 %	
Successful Occurrences	95	

Methodology of Water Quality Data Collection and Compilation in Karnataka




Figure 6: Data Flow for Water Quality in Ground Water Directorate and CGWB, Karnataka

Water Quality Data Verification in Karnataka

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Karnataka	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Karnataka
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	14
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	14
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	41
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	41

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Karnataka

Water Level (A)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 96</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(1.17/100))*(96) = 95</p>
Water Quality (B)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = NA</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) NA</p>
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	<p>Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 41 Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0</p>
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	95

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

For a few wells source is not present.

- In the case of new additional wells, data for TDS is not present for all the wells. Data for Carbonate is not present for all the wells.

Water Quality

- The data provided by the state is not in the proper format as per the template provided in the annexures of the DLI#1 verification protocol.
- The state did not meet the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as per the protocol, as out of 123 wells that were publicly disclosed on MIS for 1st round of verification, none of the wells were updated as, data for the year 2020 is not present.

HGR

- In Table 2 consisting water level data of monitoring wells, pre and post monsoon data for the year 2020 is not present for some wells in HGR but mentioned in WL MIS.
- In Table 3, Only (9) Ions' data is available. Data for CO32- – Carbonate is not present in all the 41 HGRs.

D. MADHYA PRADESH

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	6
Blocks	9
Gram Panchayats	663

Table 7 : Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Madhya Pradesh



Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Madhya Pradesh




Figure 7: Data Flow for Water Level in Madhya Pradesh Water Resources Department and CGWB, Madhya Pradesh

Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Madhya Pradesh

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Madhya Pradesh	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	3	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	2	1
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	24	12
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	24	12
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	12	2
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	1
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	36	14
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	7.14%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	2.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	98.00%	
Successful Occurrences	3	

Methodology of Water Quality Data Collection and Compilation in Madhya Pradesh




Figure 8: Data Flow for Water Quality in Madhya Pradesh Water Resources Department and CGWB, Madhya Pradesh

Water Quality Data Verification in Madhya Pradesh

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Madhya Pradesh	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Madhya Pradesh
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	4
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	0
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	9
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	9

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Madhya Pradesh

Water Level (A)	Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 3 Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(2.00/100))*(3) = 3 wells
Water Quality (B)	Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = NA Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) = NA
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 9 Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	3

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

No discrepancy was found in the data submitted.

Water Quality

- Out of 33 wells that were publicly disclosed on MIS for 1st round of verification, data for only 18 wells were updated. The state updated only 55% of the data and did not meet the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as the protocol.
- The data for Fluoride and Nitrate is not present for some wells. As, the data was not analyzed by SGWB.
- In 52 wells, “*” is present in the data in year 2015 as wells were selected in May 2016.

- In 14 wells, “**” is present in the data for different years as the water sample was not collected and analyzed by CGWB and SGWB.
- In 12 wells, “***” is present in the data for different years as CGWB did not collect and analyzed the ground water sample of Bore well/Piezometers.

HGR

- For most of the wells, water level data for Pre and Post monsoon is not available for the year 2020.
- In Table 3, 2 ions- NO₃- [Nitrate] and F- [Fluoride] data is not present for most of the wells from year 2015-2020

For Reference: This note was mentioned in the sheet:


1. Water Quality Parameter not analyzed by CGWB (Temp, TDS) / State Ground Water Department (Temp, Flouride & Nitrate).
2. *Well selected in May-2016 for Ground Water Level Monitoring and Water Quality Analysis, so sample not collected and analyzed in 2015.
3. **Water Sample not collected and analyzed by CGWB/State Ground Water Department.
4. *** CGWB not collected and analyzed the ground water sample of Bore well /Piezometer.

E. MAHARASHTRA

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	13
Blocks	38
Gram Panchayats	1339

Table 8: Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Maharashtra



Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Maharashtra




Figure 9: Data Flow for Water Quality in Ground Water Survey and Development Agency and CGWB, Maharashtra

Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Maharashtra

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Maharashtra	
	SGWB	CGWB
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	0	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	0.00%	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Methodology of Water Quality Data Collection and Compilation in Maharashtra




Figure 10: Data Flow for Water Quality in GSDA and CGWB, Maharashtra

Water Quality Data Verification in Maharashtra

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Maharashtra	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	10
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Maharashtra
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	9
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	9
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	38
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	38

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Maharashtra

Water Level (A)	Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = NA Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) = NA
Water Quality (B)	Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = NA Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) = NA
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 38 Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	0

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

In the case of additional wells, no. of wells for which data is submitted is 2914 out of which only Post 2020 data is present. Data for 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019 and Pre 2020 is not present.

Water Quality

- The data provided by the state is not in the proper format as per the template provided in the annexures of the DLI#1 verification protocol.
- The number of wells cannot be ascertain in water quality data. As, in some of the wells the year is not mentioned so it cannot be determined to which year the data is given. The format of year is also inconsistent such as 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and in some of the wells only numbers are mentioned in the year such as "42771", "43167" etc.
- Moreover, in most of the wells the same year has been repeated multiple times. However, the data

for 10 cations/anions in each repeated year is different. There is no unique ID present to ascertain which year's data is to be considered for that well.

- As number of wells cannot be ascertained, data for Calcium, Sodium, Potassium, Carbonate, Bicarbonate is not present, and 'NA' is given in case of some wells. Data for Magnesium is not provided for some wells.

HGR

- For CGWB wells, purpose of monitoring for a particular well not mentioned in most of the HGRs.
- Water Level data for the year 2020 not present for the CGWB wells.
- Village names for CGWB wells not present in Water Level MIS.
- The Well IDs given in Water Quality MIS are different from the ones present in Table 3 of HGR.

F. RAJASTHAN

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	17
Blocks	38
Gram Panchayats	1144

Table 9 : Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Rajasthan





Figure 11: Data Flow for Water Level in Rajasthan Ground Water Department and CGWB, Rajasthan

Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Rajasthan

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Rajasthan	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	145	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	94	6
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	899	58
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	899	58
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	57
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	41	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	899	115
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	4.56%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	4.04%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	95.96%	
Successful Occurrences	139	

Methodology of Water Quality Data Collection and Compilation in Rajasthan




Figure 12: Data Flow for Water Quality in Rajasthan Ground Water Department and CGWB, Rajasthan

Water Quality Data Verification in Rajasthan

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Rajasthan	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	20	1
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	951	44
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	951	44
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	951	44
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	100.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	69	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Rajasthan
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	0
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	37
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	30
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	7

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Rajasthan

Water Level (A)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 145</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(4.04/100))*(145) = 139</p>
Water Quality (B)	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = 69</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(69) 69</p>
Hydrogeological Reports (C)	<p>Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 37</p> <p>Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 30</p>
Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)	238

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

In the case of additional wells, 2 no. of wells were found to be duplicate out of 338 additional wells submitted.

HGR

Water Level data for the year 2015-2018 not present in the HGRs for some of the wells.

Water Quality

The state meet the 70% qualifying criteria for the updation of data as per the protocol.

G. UTTAR PRADESH

EXTENT OF ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA

Details of area under Atal Bhujal Yojana	Number
Districts	10
Blocks	26
Gram Panchayats	550

Table 10 : Details of area of implementation of Atal Bhujal Yojana in Uttar Pradesh



Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Uttar Pradesh




Figure 13: Water Level Data Flow in Uttar Pradesh Ground Water Department and CGWB, UP Jal Nigam, Uttar Pradesh

Methodology of Water Level Data Collection and Compilation in Uttar Pradesh

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Uttar Pradesh	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Total No. of OWs qualified as per the protocol	62	
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	18	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	193	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	168	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	168	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0.00%	0.00%
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WL data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WL data	100.00%	
Successful Occurrences	62	

Methodology of Water Quality Data Collection and Compilation in Uttar Pradesh




Figure 14: Water Quality Data Flow in Uttar Pradesh Ground Water Department (WQ Data Sourced from UP Jal Nigam) and CGWB, Uttar Pradesh

Water Quality Data Verification in Uttar Pradesh

Successful Occurrence for 2020	Uttar Pradesh	
	SGWB	CGWB
Analysis		
Number of wells for which original records are to be verified	0	0
Actual Data Points to be Verified (Based on Sample)	0	0
Data Points verified through Digital Source (i)	0	0
Data Points verified through Physical Source (ii)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Digital Source)	0	0
Total Number of Discrepancies (Physical Source)	0	0
Actual Data Points Verified (i+ii)	0	0
Total number of discrepancies observed in water level data as a percentage of total data points verified	0	0
Overall percentage of discrepancies in WQ data	0.00%	
Overall percentage of accuracy in WQ data	0.00 %	
Successful Occurrences	0	

Hydrogeological Reports Assessment

Categorisation	Uttar Pradesh
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete" in 2019	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Updated" in 2020	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Not Updated" in 2020	0
Successful Occurrence Categorization	
Total Number of Hydrogeological reports disclosed	26
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Complete"	0
Number of blocks for which Hydrogeological reports are "Incomplete"	26

Report Card – DLI#1 2nd Round of Verification in Uttar Pradesh

<p>Water Level (A)</p>	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 8 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data between 2015-19, and 2 data points of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon data for the year 2020) = 62</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved= {1-(Percentage of discrepancies in water level data)/100} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(62) = 62</p>
<p>Water Quality (B)</p>	<p>Total number of wells for which data is available as per verification protocol (at least 3 year data for at least 3 ions + EC/TDS for 3 years is available between 2015-2019 and data points of pH, EC/TDS and 10 ions for the year 2020) = NA</p> <p>Effective number of observation wells for which public disclosure of data is achieved = {100-(Percentage of discrepancies in water quality data)} x (Total number of observation wells for which data is available as required) = (1-(0/100))*(0) NA</p>
<p>Hydrogeological Reports (C)</p>	<p>Total number of blocks for which Hydrogeological report is disclosed = 26</p> <p>Total number of blocks that achieved completion of Hydrogeological report = 0</p>
<p>Successful Occurrences (A+B+C)</p>	<p>62</p>

NA: The particular parameter did not meet the qualifying criteria of verification protocol

OBSERVATIONS

Water Level

In the case of additional wells, 11 no. of wells were either found to be duplicate or latitude & longitude were not present out of 196 additional wells submitted.

Water Quality

The state did not submitted the Water Quality data for 2nd round of DLI#1 verification.

HGR

- Some reports provide EC map only for pre-monsoon and not on an annual basis.
- Some of the maps have changed headers and are not in proper format.
- As WQ MIS is not received, Table 1 and 3 cannot be assessed.
- In Table 2, pre and post monsoon data points are not mentioned or the data points are mismatching for some wells.

ANNEXURE IC: Block-wise results of HGR Assessments

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
I	HARYANA			
1	Haryana	Ateli Nangal	14	Incomplete
2	Haryana	Badhra	14	Incomplete
3	Haryana	Ballabgarh	14	Incomplete
4	Haryana	Bapoli	14	Incomplete
5	Haryana	Behal	14	Incomplete
6	Haryana	Ellenabad	14	Incomplete
7	Haryana	Faridabad	14	Incomplete
8	Haryana	Farrukh Nagar	14	Incomplete
9	Haryana	Guhla	13.5	Incomplete
10	Haryana	Gurgaon	14	Incomplete
11	Haryana	Hassanpur	14	Incomplete
12	Haryana	Hathin	14	Incomplete
13	Haryana	Hodal	14	Incomplete
14	Haryana	Jagadhri	12.5	Incomplete
15	Haryana	Kairu	14	Incomplete
16	Haryana	Kanina	14	Incomplete
17	Haryana	Karnal	12.5	Incomplete
18	Haryana	Khol At Rewari	14	Incomplete
19	Haryana	Ladwa	13.5	Incomplete
20	Haryana	Loharu	14	Incomplete
21	Haryana	Mahendragarh	14	Incomplete
22	Haryana	Mustafabad	14	Incomplete
23	Haryana	Nagal Chaudhary	14	Incomplete
24	Haryana	Narnaul	14	Incomplete
25	Haryana	Palwal	14	Incomplete
26	Haryana	Pataudi	14	Incomplete
27	Haryana	Pehwa	14	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
I	HARYANA			
28	Haryana	Radour	14	Incomplete
29	Haryana	Rajaund	14	Incomplete
30	Haryana	Rania	14	Incomplete
31	Haryana	Sadoura (Part)	14	Incomplete
32	Haryana	Samalkha	12.5	Incomplete
33	Haryana	Shahbad	13.5	Incomplete
34	Haryana	Sohna	12.5	Incomplete
35	Haryana	Tohana	14	Incomplete
36	Haryana	Tosham	14	Incomplete
II	KARNATAKA			
37	Karnataka	Arsikere	18	Incomplete
38	Karnataka	Athani	18	Incomplete
39	Karnataka	Badami	18	Incomplete
40	Karnataka	Bagalkote	18	Incomplete
41	Karnataka	Bagepalli	18	Incomplete
42	Karnataka	Bangerpete	16	Incomplete
43	Karnataka	Challakere	18	Incomplete
44	Karnataka	Channagiri	18	Incomplete
45	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	18	Incomplete
46	Karnataka	Chikkanayakannahalli	18	Incomplete
47	Karnataka	Chintamani	18	Incomplete
48	Karnataka	Chitradurga	18	Incomplete
49	Karnataka	Devanahalli	18	Incomplete
50	Karnataka	Doddaballapura	18	Incomplete
51	Karnataka	Gadag	18	Incomplete
52	Karnataka	Goudibande	16	Incomplete
53	Karnataka	Gouribidanur	18	Incomplete
54	Karnataka	Gundlupete	18	Incomplete
55	Karnataka	Hagaribomanahalli	18	Incomplete
56	Karnataka	Harapanahalli	18	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
II	KARNATAKA			
57	Karnataka	Hiriyur	18	Incomplete
58	Karnataka	Holalkere	18	Incomplete
59	Karnataka	Hosadurga	18	Incomplete
60	Karnataka	Hoskote	18	Incomplete
61	Karnataka	Jagaluru	14	Incomplete
62	Karnataka	Kadur	18	Incomplete
63	Karnataka	Kanakapura	18	Incomplete
64	Karnataka	Kolar	16	Incomplete
65	Karnataka	Kortagere	16	Incomplete
66	Karnataka	Madhugiri	14	Incomplete
67	Karnataka	Malur	16	Incomplete
68	Karnataka	Mulabagilu	16	Incomplete
69	Karnataka	Neelamangala	18	Incomplete
70	Karnataka	Ramdurga	18	Incomplete
71	Karnataka	Ramnagara	14	Incomplete
72	Karnataka	Ron	18	Incomplete
73	Karnataka	Sidlaghatta	18	Incomplete
74	Karnataka	Sira	18	Incomplete
75	Karnataka	Srinivaspura	16	Incomplete
76	Karnataka	Tiptur	18	Incomplete
77	Karnataka	Tumakurur	18	Incomplete
III	MADHYA PRADESH			
78	Madhya Pradesh	Ajaygarh	18	Incomplete
79	Madhya Pradesh	Baldevgarh	18	Incomplete
80	Madhya Pradesh	Chhatarpur	18	Incomplete
81	Madhya Pradesh	Niwari	18	Incomplete
82	Madhya Pradesh	Naugaon	18	Incomplete
83	Madhya Pradesh	Palera	18	Incomplete
84	Madhya Pradesh	Pathariya	18	Incomplete
85	Madhya Pradesh	Rajnagar	18	Incomplete
86	Madhya Pradesh	Sagar	18	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
IV	MAHARASHTRA			
87	Maharashtra	Amalner	13.5	Incomplete
88	Maharashtra	Baramati	14	Incomplete
89	Maharashtra	Chakur	18	Incomplete
90	Maharashtra	Chandur Bazar	14	Incomplete
91	Maharashtra	Deola	18	Incomplete
92	Maharashtra	Ghansawangi	16	Incomplete
93	Maharashtra	Indapur	14.5	Incomplete
94	Maharashtra	Jalna	18	Incomplete
95	Maharashtra	Jat	16	Incomplete
96	Maharashtra	Karjat	16	Incomplete
97	Maharashtra	Katol	16	Incomplete
98	Maharashtra	Kavathe-Mahankal	16	Incomplete
99	Maharashtra	Khanapur	16	Incomplete
100	Maharashtra	Khataav	16	Incomplete
101	Maharashtra	Latur	16	Incomplete
102	Maharashtra	Madha	14.5	Incomplete
103	Maharashtra	Malshiras	18	Incomplete
104	Maharashtra	Man	16	Incomplete
105	Maharashtra	Mohol	16	Incomplete
106	Maharashtra	Morshi	16	Incomplete
107	Maharashtra	Motala	18	Incomplete
108	Maharashtra	Narkhed	16	Incomplete
109	Maharashtra	Nilanga	18	Incomplete
110	Maharashtra	Osmanabad	14	Incomplete
111	Maharashtra	Pandharpur	16	Incomplete
112	Maharashtra	Parola	16	Incomplete
113	Maharashtra	Partur	16	Incomplete
114	Maharashtra	Purandhar	16	Incomplete
115	Maharashtra	Rahata	14	Incomplete
116	Maharashtra	Raver	18	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
IV	MAHARASHTRA			
117	Maharashtra	Renapur	14	Incomplete
118	Maharashtra	Sangamner	16	Incomplete
119	Maharashtra	Sinnar	16	Incomplete
120	Maharashtra	Tasgaon	16	Incomplete
121	Maharashtra	Umarga	14.5	Incomplete
122	Maharashtra	Wai	16	Incomplete
123	Maharashtra	Warud	16	Incomplete
124	Maharashtra	Yawal	14	Incomplete
V	RAJASTHAN			
125	Rajasthan	Ajeethgarh	20	Complete
126	Rajasthan	Ajmer Rural	20	Complete
127	Rajasthan	Amer	20	Complete
128	Rajasthan	Atru	20	Complete
129	Rajasthan	Baijupada	20	Complete
130	Rajasthan	Bandikui	20	Complete
131	Rajasthan	Baran	20	Complete
132	Rajasthan	Baswa	20	Complete
133	Rajasthan	Chauth Ka Barwada	18	Incomplete
134	Rajasthan	Chittorgarh	20	Complete
135	Rajasthan	Dausa	20	Complete
136	Rajasthan	Dholpur	20	Complete
137	Rajasthan	Govindgarh	20	Complete
138	Rajasthan	Hindaun	20	Complete
139	Rajasthan	Jaisalmer	18	Incomplete
140	Rajasthan	Jalsu	20	Complete
141	Rajasthan	Khandar	20	Complete
142	Rajasthan	Khanpur	16	Incomplete
143	Rajasthan	Khetri	20	Complete
144	Rajasthan	Lawan	20	Complete
145	Rajasthan	Mohangarh	16	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
V	RAJASTHAN			
146	Rajasthan	Nachana	20	Complete
147	Rajasthan	Nangal Rajawatan	20	Complete
148	Rajasthan	Neem Ka Thana	20	Complete
149	Rajasthan	Patan	20	Complete
150	Rajasthan	Peesangan	20	Complete
151	Rajasthan	Rajgarh	20	Complete
152	Rajasthan	Rajsamand	20	Complete
153	Rajasthan	Saipu	16	Incomplete
154	Rajasthan	Sangaria	20	Complete
155	Rajasthan	Sangod	20	Complete
156	Rajasthan	Sawai Madhopur	16	Incomplete
157	Rajasthan	Shahpura	20	Complete
158	Rajasthan	Shri Mahaveer Ji	20	Complete
159	Rajasthan	Sikandra	20	Complete
160	Rajasthan	Srinagar	18.5	Incomplete
161	Rajasthan	Tibbi	20	Complete
VI	UTTAR PRADESH			
162	Uttar Pradesh	Babina	16	Incomplete
163	Uttar Pradesh	Baghpat	16	Incomplete
164	Uttar Pradesh	Barokharkhurd	16	Incomplete
165	Uttar Pradesh	Budhana	14.5	Incomplete
166	Uttar Pradesh	Charkhari	16	Incomplete
167	Uttar Pradesh	Jaitpur	16	Incomplete
168	Uttar Pradesh	Jaspura	16	Incomplete
169	Uttar Pradesh	Kabrai	14	Incomplete
170	Uttar Pradesh	Kandhala	14	Incomplete
171	Uttar Pradesh	Karwi	16	Incomplete
172	Uttar Pradesh	Kharkhonda	14	Incomplete
173	Uttar Pradesh	Mahua	16	Incomplete
174	Uttar Pradesh	Manikpur	16	Incomplete

S.No.	State	Block	Score	Status
VI	UTTAR PRADESH			
175	Uttar Pradesh	Mau	14	Incomplete
176	Uttar Pradesh	Maudaha	16	Incomplete
177	Uttar Pradesh	Mauranipur	14	Incomplete
178	Uttar Pradesh	Muskara	16	Incomplete
179	Uttar Pradesh	Naraini	16	Incomplete
180	Uttar Pradesh	Panwari	16	Incomplete
181	Uttar Pradesh	Pilana	16	Incomplete
182	Uttar Pradesh	Rajpura	14.5	Incomplete
183	Uttar Pradesh	Ramnagar	14	Incomplete
184	Uttar Pradesh	Sarila	16	Incomplete
185	Uttar Pradesh	Sumerpur	14	Incomplete
186	Uttar Pradesh	Talbehat	16	Incomplete
187	Uttar Pradesh	Tindwari	16	Incomplete

ANNEXURE II:

DLI#2 PROTOCOL FOR 2ND ROUND of VERIFICATION

A. BACKGROUND:

Quality Council of India (QCI), as Third Party Government Verification Agency (TPGVA) for Atal Bhujal Yojana has conducted the 1st round of verification of DLI#2. This round was carried out from 16th January 2022 to 15th February 2022. This was done through an extensive and thorough field verification to assess the extent of consultative process in the preparation of the Water Security Plans at the Gram Panchayat level.

To gauge the extent to which the process of establishing a community led Water Security Plan was followed with reference to the scheme, QCI carried out the on-field verification of 20% of the total approved Water Security Plans submitted on the MIS by the Gram Panchayats. The 20% stratified random sampling was done across all the districts to ensure uniformity and spatial spread. The field verification included interviews of committee and community members who were a part of the meetings to approve the Water Security Plan.

A detailed questionnaire was prepared by QCI to understand the extent of following:

- i. Consultative Process
- ii. Scheme Awareness

The Gram Panchayats were categorized into 'Adequate' and 'Needs Improvement' based on the aforementioned indicators respectively as per the assessment protocols established by NPMU and QCI. Following this standard, Gram Panchayat found 'Adequate' for both the parameters mentioned above were considered for overall Community Participation assessment. These Gram Panchayats further were extrapolated to the total number of approved Water Security Plans to arrive at the successful occurrences under the 1st round of verification of DLI#2.

B. PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR VERIFICATION FOR 2ND ROUND OF DLI#2

The 2nd round of verification for DLI#2 was carried out from 11th April 2022 to 18th May 2022.

Methodology

The field verification was carried out by the TPGVA in a systematic manner to verify some of the facts pertaining to data source used in preparation and involvement of community in the decision making process.

1. The field assessment/ verification shall be done on the basis of the approved Water Security Plans submitted by the Gram Panchayats of the seven states. QCI will assess 20% of the total Water Security Plans submitted.
2. An on-field team consisting of both QCI empaneled Assessor and QCI Professional will be visiting the Gram Panchayats based on stratified random sampling of the 20% approved Water

Security Plans provided by the NPMU. Also, over-shadow will be done by senior professionals of the team.

3. The on-field team will only interview the committee and community members who were present in the meeting for the approval of the Water Security Plan, which shall be verified by checking the MoM provided by the Gram Panchayats.
4. The on-field team shall observe the Non-Disclosure Indicators (NDIs) such as women participation and the awareness generated through the IEC materials. Two types of forms shall be employed, namely – the Random Citizen Feedback form and Random Observation Wells form, which would aid in assessing the awareness of the Community about the scheme.

Annexure IIA: Templates for Resolution & DPMU Declaration

RESOLUTION

Date: _____

It is hereby resolved that the Water Budget/ Water Security Plan (WSP) of _____ Gram Panchayat, _____ Block/Taluk/Mandal, _____ District, _____ State is complete in all respect and has been prepared through consultative process including the members of Village Water and Sanitation Committee (VWSC)/equivalent Committee and was approved in the meeting held on ___/___/_____.

S. NO.	DESIGNATION	NAME	SIGNATURE
1	Gram Panchayat Adhyaksha (Sarpanch)/President of VWSC/ Presiding officer of the meeting		
2	Gram Panchayat Secretary		

DPMU DECLARATION

I _____(Name), _____(Designation),
authorized representative under District Program Management Unit (DPMU) declare that the Water Security Plan
(WSP) submitted for the Gram Panchayat, Block/Taluk, _____ District is complete in all respect.

It is hereby confirmed that the Minutes of community-level Meeting and Resolution attached are in order and signed
by authorized signatories.

Seal and Signature of authorised DPMU official:

Date: _____

ANNEXURE IIB: GP-wise results of community participation assessment

A. GUJARAT

Report Card- DLI#2 2nd Round of Verification in Gujarat

Water Security Plan	Gujarat
Total no. of WSPs submitted	785
WSPs sampled for field verification	163
WSPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment	151

GP wise results for Community Participation Assessment

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
1	Banaskantha	Palanpur	Akedi	A	A	Adequate
2	Banaskantha	Palanpur	Badargadh	A	A	Adequate
3	Banaskantha	Palanpur	Badarpura (kalusana)	A	A	Adequate
4	Banaskantha	Deesa	Bhachalva	A	A	Adequate
5	Banaskantha	Deesa	Bural	A	A	Adequate
6	Banaskantha	Deesa	Chatrala	A	A	Adequate
7	Banaskantha	Deesa	Chora	A	A	Adequate
8	Banaskantha	Deesa	Dama	A	A	Adequate
9	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Darbari detal	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
10	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Dedha	A	A	Adequate
11	Banaskantha	Tharad	Denduva	A	A	Adequate
12	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Detal duva	A	A	Adequate
13	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Dharanva	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
14	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Dugdol nani	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
15	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Fatepura (malotra)	A	A	Adequate
16	Banaskantha	Deesa	Garnal nani	A	A	Adequate
17	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Jhakol	A	A	Adequate
18	Banaskantha	Deesa	Jorapura	A	A	Adequate
19	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Kamodi	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
20	Banaskantha	Palanpur	Khemana	A	A	Adequate
21	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Lalpur	A	A	Adequate
22	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Madal	A	A	Adequate
23	Banaskantha	Deesa	Mahadeviya	A	A	Adequate
24	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Mandal	A	A	Adequate
25	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Mota kapra	A	A	Adequate
26	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Mota meda	A	A	Adequate
27	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Nandla	A	A	Adequate
28	Banaskantha	Deesa	Nesda juna	A	A	Adequate
29	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Rampura mota	A	A	Adequate
30	Banaskantha	Deesa	Ranpur vachlovas	A	A	Adequate
31	Banaskantha	Deesa	Robas moti	A	A	Adequate
32	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Sabawadi	A	A	Adequate
33	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Samalwada	A	A	Adequate
34	Banaskantha	Deesa	Samau motavas	A	A	Adequate
35	Banaskantha	Deesa	Shamsherpura	A	A	Adequate
36	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Shergadh (jadiya)	A	A	Adequate
37	Banaskantha	Deesa	Sotambla	A	A	Adequate
38	Banaskantha	Tharad	Terol	A	A	Adequate
39	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Vachhdal	A	A	Adequate
40	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Vaktapura	A	A	Adequate
41	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Vasan	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
42	Banaskantha	Deesa	Vasna (Juna Deesa)	A	A	Adequate
43	Banaskantha	Lakhani	Vasna (vatam)	A	A	Adequate
44	Banaskantha	Dhanera	Vinchhivadi	A	A	Adequate
45	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Alampur	A	A	Adequate
46	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Babra	A	NI	Needs Improvement
47	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Bhadroda	A	A	Adequate
48	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Chhala	A	A	Adequate
49	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Galudan	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
50	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Hathijan	A	A	Adequate
51	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Khadiya	A	A	Adequate
52	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Kuvadara	A	A	Adequate
53	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Lavad	A	A	Adequate
54	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Madhavgad	A	A	Adequate
55	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Morali	A	A	Adequate
56	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Parbatpura	A	A	Adequate
57	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Pasuniya	A	A	Adequate
58	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Pirojpur	A	A	Adequate
59	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Pundhara	A	A	Adequate
60	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Rajpura	A	A	Adequate
61	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Rangpur	A	A	Adequate
62	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Ratanpur	A	A	Adequate
63	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Sametri	A	A	Adequate
64	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Unava	A	A	Adequate
65	Gandhinagar	Mansa	Varsoda	A	A	Adequate
66	Gandhinagar	Dehgam	Vatva	A	A	Adequate
67	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar	Vira talavdi	NI	NI	Needs Improvement

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
68	Kachchh	Bhuj	Bandhara mota	A	A	Adequate
69	Kachchh	Bhuj	Dagala	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
70	Kachchh	Bhuj	Deshalpar	A	NI	Needs Improvement
71	Kachchh	Bhuj	Dhaneti	A	A	Adequate
72	Kachchh	Anjar	Khedoi	A	A	Adequate
73	Kachchh	Bhuj	Kotda athamana	A	A	Adequate
74	Kachchh	Mandvi	Makda	A	A	Adequate
75	Kachchh	Mandvi	Mamaymora	A	A	Adequate
76	Kachchh	Bhuj	Meghpar	A	A	Adequate
77	Kachchh	Mandvi	Nabhoi	A	A	Adequate
78	Kachchh	Anjar	Navagam	A	A	Adequate
79	Kachchh	Mandvi	Rajpar	A	A	Adequate
80	Kachchh	Anjar	Vada	A	A	Adequate
81	Kachchh	Bhuj	Vadzar	A	NI	Needs Improvement
82	Mehsana	Kadi	Adraj	A	A	Adequate
83	Mehsana	Mehsana	Bhasariya	A	A	Adequate
84	Mehsana	Kheralu	Chachariya	A	A	Adequate
85	Mehsana	Bechraji	Chadasana	A	A	Adequate
86	Mehsana	Mehsana	Chaluva	A	A	Adequate
87	Mehsana	Vijapur	Dagavadiya	A	A	Adequate
88	Mehsana	Mehsana	Dela	A	A	Adequate
89	Mehsana	Kadi	Della	A	A	Adequate
90	Mehsana	Bechraji	Delvadakhant	A	A	Adequate
91	Mehsana	Vijapur	Devda	A	A	Adequate
92	Mehsana	Bechraji	Dhanpura	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
93	Mehsana	Bechraji	Dharpurakhant	A	A	Adequate
94	Mehsana	Vijapur	Gerita	A	A	Adequate
95	Mehsana	Kadi	Ghumasan	A	A	Adequate
96	Mehsana	Visnagar	Gunja	A	A	Adequate
97	Mehsana	Jotana	Harsundal	A	A	Adequate
98	Mehsana	Vijapur	Hirpura	A	A	Adequate
99	Mehsana	Kadi	Jamiyatpura	A	A	Adequate
100	Mehsana	Kadi	Jasalpur	A	A	Adequate
101	Mehsana	Vijapur	Jepur	A	A	Adequate
102	Mehsana	Jotana	Jotana	A	A	Adequate
103	Mehsana	Kadi	Kalyanpura	A	A	Adequate
104	Mehsana	Bechraji	Karansagar	A	A	Adequate
105	Mehsana	Vadnagar	Khatasana	A	A	Adequate
106	Mehsana	Kadi	Kolad	A	A	Adequate
107	Mehsana	Kheralu	Moti hirvani	A	A	Adequate
108	Mehsana	Kadi	Nadoliya	A	A	Adequate
109	Mehsana	Bechraji	Poyda	A	A	Adequate
110	Mehsana	Bechraji	Pratapgadhd	A	A	Adequate
111	Mehsana	Visnagar	Saduthala	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
112	Mehsana	Satlasana	Satlasana	A	A	Adequate
113	Mehsana	Visnagar	Satusana	A	A	Adequate
114	Mehsana	Mehsana	Taleti	A	A	Adequate
115	Mehsana	Bechraji	Udela	A	A	Adequate
116	Mehsana	Vijapur	Vadasan	A	A	Adequate
117	Mehsana	Satlasana	Vav	A	A	Adequate
118	Patan	Saraswati	Ajuja	A	A	Adequate
119	Patan	Patan	Borsan	A	A	Adequate
120	Patan	Chanasma	Chaveli	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
121	Patan	Chanasma	Danodarda	A	A	Adequate
122	Patan	Saraswati	Dharusan	A	A	Adequate
123	Patan	Saraswati	Endla	A	A	Adequate
124	Patan	Saraswati	Ghacheli	A	A	Adequate
125	Patan	Saraswati	Jaleshvar-paldi	A	A	Adequate
126	Patan	Chanasma	Jitoda	A	A	Adequate
127	Patan	Saraswati	Kansa	A	A	Adequate
128	Patan	Saraswati	Katrasamal	A	A	Adequate
129	Patan	Saraswati	Khanpurda	A	A	Adequate
130	Patan	Saraswati	Kimbuva	A	A	Adequate
131	Patan	Saraswati	Kotavad	A	A	Adequate
132	Patan	Saraswati	Kuntavada	A	A	Adequate
133	Patan	Saraswati	Muna	A	A	Adequate
134	Patan	Saraswati	Nava-bavahaji	A	A	Adequate
135	Patan	Saraswati	Rakhv	A	A	Adequate
136	Patan	Saraswati	Rughnathpura	A	A	Adequate
137	Patan	Saraswati	Sanpra	A	A	Adequate
138	Patan	Saraswati	Siol	A	A	Adequate
139	Patan	Saraswati	Vasni	A	A	Adequate
140	Sabarkantha	Idar	Arsodiya	A	A	Adequate
141	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Balisana	A	A	Adequate
142	Sabarkantha	Idar	Budheli	A	A	Adequate
143	Sabarkantha	Vadali	Chorivad	A	A	Adequate
144	Sabarkantha	Idar	Chotasan	A	A	Adequate
145	Sabarkantha	Idar	Davad	A	A	Adequate
146	Sabarkantha	Vadali	Dhamdi	A	A	Adequate
147	Sabarkantha	Idar	Eklara	A	A	Adequate
148	Sabarkantha	Idar	Haripura (aroda)	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
149	Sabarkantha	Idar	Haripura (khaski)	A	A	Adequate
150	Sabarkantha	Idar	Jethipura	A	A	Adequate
151	Sabarkantha	Idar	Lakshmanpura	A	A	Adequate
152	Sabarkantha	Idar	Laloda	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
153	Sabarkantha	Idar	Lalpur (badoli)	A	A	Adequate
154	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Limla	A	A	Adequate
155	Sabarkantha	Vadali	Malpur	NI	A	Needs Improvement
156	Sabarkantha	Idar	Masal	A	A	Adequate
157	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Mavani muvadi	A	A	Adequate
158	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Poglu	A	A	Adequate
159	Sabarkantha	Vadali	Rampur(fudeda)	A	A	Adequate
160	Sabarkantha	Idar	Ruvach	A	A	Adequate
161	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Sadani muvadi	A	A	Adequate
162	Sabarkantha	Prantij	Salal	A	A	Adequate
163	Sabarkantha	Vadali	Vasna (asai)	A	A	Adequate

A - Adequate

NI - Needs Improvement

CAR - Consultative Approach Result

SAR - Scheme Awareness Result

B. HARYANA

Report Card- DLI#2 2nd Round of Verification in Haryana

Water Security Plan	Haryana
Total no. of WSPs submitted	684
WSPs sampled for field verification	142
WSPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment	127

GP wise results for Community Participation Assessment

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
1	Bhiwani	Tosham	Alakhpura	A	A	Adequate
2	Bhiwani	Behal	Ameenpur	A	A	Adequate
3	Bhiwani	Kairu	Babarwas	A	A	Adequate
4	Bhiwani	Loharu	Baralu	A	A	Adequate
5	Bhiwani	Kairu	Chandawas	A	A	Adequate
6	Bhiwani	Kairu	Dhab Dhani	A	A	Adequate
7	Bhiwani	Behal	Hariyawas	A	A	Adequate
8	Bhiwani	Kairu	Jitwanabas	A	A	Adequate
9	Bhiwani	Kairu	Jui Khurd	A	A	Adequate
10	Bhiwani	Behal	Sheharyarpur	A	A	Adequate
11	Bhiwani	Behal	Surpura Khurd	A	A	Adequate
12	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Badhra	A	A	Adequate
13	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Hansawas Khurd	A	A	Adequate
14	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Jewali	A	A	Adequate
15	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Kari Tokha	A	A	Adequate
16	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Ladawas	A	A	Adequate
17	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra	Mandi Kehar	A	A	Adequate
18	Faridabad	Faridabad	Amipur	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
19	Faridabad	Faridabad	Jasana	A	A	Adequate
20	Faridabad	Ballabgarh	Panehra Khurd	A	A	Adequate
21	Faridabad	Ballabgarh	Shahpur Kalan	A	A	Adequate
22	Faridabad	Ballabgarh	Sunper	A	A	Adequate
23	Fatehabad	Tohana	Tharvi	A	A	Adequate
24	Fatehabad	Tohana	Tharwa	A	A	Adequate
25	Gurugram	Farrukh Nagar	Alimudinpur	NI	A	Needs Improvement
26	Gurugram	Pataudi	Ghilnawas	A	A	Adequate
27	Gurugram	Farrukh Nagar	Gugana	A	A	Adequate
28	Gurugram	Pataudi	Inchhapuri	A	A	Adequate
29	Gurugram	Farrukh Nagar	Jamalpur	A	A	Adequate
30	Gurugram	Farrukh Nagar	Kharkhari	A	A	Adequate
31	Gurugram	Pataudi	Lagra	A	A	Adequate
32	Gurugram	Pataudi	Mirzapur	A	A	Adequate
33	Gurugram	Pataudi	Mumtajpur	A	A	Adequate
34	Gurugram	Pataudi	Nanu Khurd	A	A	Adequate
35	Gurugram	Pataudi	Narhera	A	A	Adequate
36	Gurugram	Pataudi	Noorgarh	A	A	Adequate
37	Gurugram	Pataudi	Pahari	A	A	Adequate
38	Gurugram	Pataudi	Rampura	A	A	Adequate
39	Gurugram	Pataudi	Ransika	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
40	Gurugram	Pataudi	Sayed Shahpur	A	A	Adequate
41	Gurugram	Pataudi	Shahpur Jat	A	A	Adequate
42	Kaithal	Guhla	Baupur	A	A	Adequate
43	Kaithal	Guhla	Bhagal	A	A	Adequate
44	Kaithal	Guhla	Daba	A	A	Adequate
45	Kaithal	Guhla	Garhi Nazir	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
46	Kaithal	Rajaund	Guliana	A	A	Adequate
47	Kaithal	Guhla	Harigarh Kingan	A	A	Adequate
48	Kaithal	Guhla	Kharal	A	A	Adequate
49	Kaithal	Guhla	Nandgarh	A	A	Adequate
50	Kaithal	Rajaund	Rohera	A	A	Adequate
51	Kaithal	Rajaund	Taragarh	A	A	Adequate
52	Karnal	Karnal	Nalvipar	A	A	Adequate
53	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Bahlolpur	A	A	Adequate
54	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Ban	A	A	Adequate
55	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Barach Pur	A	A	Adequate
56	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Budha	A	A	Adequate
57	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Duda	A	A	Adequate
58	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Fatehgarh Jharoli	A	A	Adequate
59	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Hald Heri	A	A	Adequate
60	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Kathwa	A	A	Adequate
61	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Mamu Majra	A	A	Adequate
62	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Nagla	A	A	Adequate
63	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Narayangarh	A	A	Adequate
64	Kurukshetra	Ladwa	Nawarsi	A	A	Adequate
65	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Samblakhi	A	A	Adequate
66	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Sulkhani	A	A	Adequate
67	Kurukshetra	Shahbad	Yari	A	A	Adequate
68	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Bawana	A	A	Adequate
69	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Bocharia	A	A	Adequate
70	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nagal	Rajpura	NI	Ni	Needs Improvement
71	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Chajjiyawas	A	A	Adequate
72	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Cham Dhera	A	A	Adequate
73	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Gadania	NI	A	Needs Improvement

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
74	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Gujarwas	A	A	Adequate
75	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Jhook	A	A	Adequate
76	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Karia	A	A	Adequate
77	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Katkai	A	A	Adequate
78	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Khatodra	A	NI	Needs Improvement
79	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Kherki	A	A	Adequate
80	Mahendragarh	Narnaul	Kojinda	A	A	Adequate
81	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Kothal Kalan	A	A	Adequate
82	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Mirzapur	A	A	Adequate
83	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Nangal	A	A	Adequate
84	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Neerpur (R)	A	A	Adequate
85	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Pal	A	A	Adequate
86	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Prathvipura	A	A	Adequate
87	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Riwasa	A	A	Adequate
88	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal	Tajpur	A	A	Adequate
89	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh	Zerpur	A	A	Adequate
90	Palwal	Hathin	Ali Brahman	A	A	Adequate
91	Palwal	Hassanpur	Amroli	A	A	Adequate
92	Palwal	Hassanpur	Bata	A	A	Adequate
93	Palwal	Hassanpur	Bhaindoli	A	A	Adequate
94	Palwal	Hassanpur	Bhavava	A	A	Adequate
95	Palwal	Hathin	Bhimsika	A	A	Adequate
96	Palwal	Hodal	Dakora	A	A	Adequate
97	Palwal	Hathin	Dhokalpur	A	A	Adequate
98	Palwal	Hodal	Gorauta	NI	A	Needs Improvement
99	Palwal	Hassanpur	Khanbi	A	A	Adequate
100	Palwal	Hodal	Khatela Sarai	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
101	Palwal	Palwal	Kishorpur	A	A	Adequate
102	Palwal	Hathin	Kot	A	A	Adequate
103	Palwal	Hodal	Maroli	A	A	Adequate
104	Palwal	Hassanpur	Pingor	NI	A	Needs Improvement
105	Panipat	Bapoli	Bhalor	A	A	Adequate
106	Panipat	Bapoli	Garhi Bhalaur	A	A	Adequate
107	Panipat	Bapoli	Jalmana	A	A	Adequate
108	Panipat	Samalkha	Wazirpur Tatyana	A	A	Adequate
109	Rewari	Khol	Cheeta Dungar	NI	A	Needs Improvement
110	Rewari	Khol	Dhamlawas	NI	A	Needs Improvement
111	Rewari	Khol	Khori	NI	A	Needs Improvement
112	Rewari	Khol	Rajiaka	NI	A	Needs Improvement
113	Sirsa	Ellenabad	Amritsar Khurd	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
114	Sirsa	Ellenabad	Dhani Sheran	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
115	Sirsa	Rania	Ghoranwali	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
116	Sirsa	Ellenabad	Mallekan	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
117	Sirsa	Rania	Mangalia	A	A	Adequate
118	Sirsa	Rania	Peerkhera	A	A	Adequate
119	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Alahar	A	A	Adequate
120	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Bakana	A	A	Adequate
121	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Chamrori	A	A	Adequate
122	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Chhari	A	A	Adequate
123	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Darajpur	A	A	Adequate
124	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Dohli	A	A	Adequate
125	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Fajalpur	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
126	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Gadhauli2	A	A	Adequate
127	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Kalawar	A	A	Adequate
128	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Kalyanpur	A	A	Adequate
129	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Khanpur Rajputan	A	A	Adequate
130	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Khedi Darshan Singh	A	A	Adequate
131	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Khirki Brahmanan	A	A	Adequate
132	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Kulchandu	A	A	Adequate
133	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Mahmoodpur	A	A	Adequate
134	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Masana Rangran	A	A	Adequate
135	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Naushera	A	A	Adequate
136	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Rajheri	A	A	Adequate
137	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Sadhaura	A	A	Adequate
138	Yamuna Nagar	Saraswati Nagar	Satari	A	A	Adequate
139	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Shampur	A	A	Adequate
140	Yamuna Nagar	Radour	Sili Kalan	A	A	Adequate
141	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Thaska	A	A	Adequate
142	Yamuna Nagar	Sadhaura	Udhamgarh	A	A	Adequate

A - Adequate

NI - Needs Improvement

CAR - Consultative Approach Result

SAR - Scheme Awareness Result

C. MADHYA PRADESH

Report Card- DLI#2 2nd Round of Verification in Madhya Pradesh

Water Security Plan	Madhya Pradesh
Total no. of WSPs submitted	285
WSPs sampled for field verification	59
WSPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment	59

GP wise results for Community Participation Assessment

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
1	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Achhatt	A	A	Adequate
2	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Bandhi Kala	A	A	Adequate
3	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Bara	A	A	Adequate
4	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Basata	A	A	Adequate
5	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Chandaura	A	A	Adequate
6	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Dalon	A	A	Adequate
7	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Dhadari	A	A	Adequate
8	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Dhamna	A	A	Adequate
9	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Himmatpura	A	A	Adequate
10	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Jhijhan	A	A	Adequate
11	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Kashi Pura	A	A	Adequate
12	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Katara	A	A	Adequate
13	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Kiratpura	A	A	Adequate
14	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Mahtaul	A	A	Adequate
15	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Majguwan	A	A	Adequate
16	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Manpura	A	A	Adequate
17	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Maumasaniya	A	A	Adequate
18	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Nayagaon	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
19	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Neguwa	A	A	Adequate
20	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Neguwan	A	A	Adequate
21	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Pahadgaon	A	A	Adequate
22	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Pahara Purwa	A	A	Adequate
23	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Panotha	A	A	Adequate
24	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Patan	A	A	Adequate
25	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Putariya	A	A	Adequate
26	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Salaiya	A	A	Adequate
27	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Sandani	A	A	Adequate
28	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Sarsed	A	A	Adequate
29	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Saura	A	A	Adequate
30	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Sewadi	A	A	Adequate
31	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur	Sukwan	A	A	Adequate
32	Chhatarpur	Naugaon	Sunati	A	A	Adequate
33	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Surajpura	A	A	Adequate
34	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Tikari	A	A	Adequate
35	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar	Vikram Pur	A	A	Adequate
36	Niwari	Niwari	Gujarra Khurd	A	A	Adequate
37	Niwari	Niwari	Pathari	A	A	Adequate
38	Niwari	Niwari	Pratap Pura	A	A	Adequate
39	Niwari	Niwari	Ramnagar	A	A	Adequate
40	Niwari	Niwari	Shaktibheron	A	A	Adequate
41	Niwari	Niwari	Teela	A	A	Adequate
42	Panna	Ajeygarh	Beera	A	A	Adequate
43	Panna	Ajeygarh	Bhairaha	A	A	Adequate
44	Panna	Ajeygarh	Chhataini	A	A	Adequate
45	Panna	Ajeygarh	Dharampur	A	A	Adequate
46	Panna	Ajeygarh	Harnampur	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
47	Panna	Ajeygarh	Narayanpur	A	A	Adequate
48	Panna	Ajeygarh	Pratappur	A	A	Adequate
49	Panna	Ajeygarh	Sabduwa	A	A	Adequate
50	Panna	Ajeygarh	Taroni	A	A	Adequate
51	Sagar	Sagar	Chandrapura	A	A	Adequate
52	Sagar	Sagar	Gadpahra Muhal	A	A	Adequate
53	Sagar	Sagar	Girwar	A	A	Adequate
54	Sagar	Sagar	Hanota Kalan	A	A	Adequate
55	Sagar	Sagar	Hilgan	A	A	Adequate
56	Sagar	Sagar	Majhguwan Ahir	A	A	Adequate
57	Sagar	Sagar	Renwjha	A	A	Adequate
58	Sagar	Sagar	Rusalla	A	A	Adequate
59	Sagar	Sagar	Saderi	A	A	Adequate

A - Adequate

NI - Needs Improvement

CAR - Consultative Approach Result

SAR - Scheme Awareness Result

D. MAHARASHTRA

Report Card- DLI#2 2nd Round of Verification in Maharashtra

Water Security Plan	Maharashtra
Total no. of WSPs submitted	829
WSPs sampled for field verification	170
WSPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment	166

GP wise results for Community Participation Assessment

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
1	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Ambhore	A	A	Adequate
2	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Ashwi Bk	A	A	Adequate
3	Ahmednagar	Karjat	Chilvadi	A	A	Adequate
4	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Chinchpur Bk	A	A	Adequate
5	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Hiwargaon Pawasa	A	A	Adequate
6	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Jorve	A	A	Adequate
7	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Kankapur	A	A	Adequate
8	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Kanoli	A	A	Adequate
9	Ahmednagar	Karjat	Karpadi	A	A	Adequate
10	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Khandgaon	A	A	Adequate
11	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Nimgaon Tembhi	A	A	Adequate
12	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Pimparne	A	A	Adequate
13	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Pokhari Baleshwar	A	A	Adequate
14	Ahmednagar	Karjat	Rashin	A	A	Adequate
15	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Sawargaon Tal	A	A	Adequate
16	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Shedgaon	A	A	Adequate
17	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Waghapur	A	A	Adequate
18	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Welhale	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
19	Ahmednagar	Sangamner	Zole	A	A	Adequate
20	Amravati	Morshi	Ambada	A	A	Adequate
21	Amravati	Warud	Amdapur	A	A	Adequate
22	Amravati	Warud	Bargaon	A	A	Adequate
23	Amravati	Warud	Isambri	A	A	Adequate
24	Amravati	Morshi	Khed	A	A	Adequate
25	Amravati	Chandurbar	Khel Januji (Deshmukh Ku)	A	A	Adequate
26	Amravati	Warud	Mangruli	A	A	Adequate
27	Amravati	Morshi	Naya Wathoda	A	A	Adequate
28	Amravati	Warud	Pethmangruli	A	A	Adequate
29	Amravati	Chandurbar	Pimpalkhuta	A	A	Adequate
30	Amravati	Warud	Porgavhan	A	A	Adequate
31	Amravati	Morshi	Pusala	A	A	Adequate
32	Amravati	Warud	Pusla	A	A	Adequate
33	Amravati	Warud	Tembhurkheda	A	A	Adequate
34	Amravati	Morshi	Yevti	A	A	Adequate
35	Buldhana	Motala	Chinchpur	A	A	Adequate
36	Buldhana	Motala	Shiwra	A	A	Adequate
37	Jalgaon	Yawal	Bhamnod	A	A	Adequate
38	Jalgaon	Yawal	Borawal Bk	A	A	Adequate
39	Jalgaon	Amalner	Dhar	A	A	Adequate
40	Jalgaon	Amalner	Karankheda	A	A	Adequate
41	Jalgaon	Yawal	Korpavli	A	A	Adequate
42	Jalgaon	Yawal	Marul	A	A	Adequate
43	Jalgaon	Yawal	Parsade Bk	A	A	Adequate
44	Jalgaon	Amalner	Pragane Dangari	A	A	Adequate
45	Jalgaon	Yawal	Sangvi Bk	A	A	Adequate
46	Jalgaon	Raver	Savkhede Bk	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
47	Jalgaon	Yawal	Savkhede Sim	A	A	Adequate
48	Jalgaon	Yawal	Vadhode Pr.Yawal	A	A	Adequate
49	Jalgaon	Yawal	Vadri Kh	A	A	Adequate
50	Jalgaon	Parola	Vasantnagar	A	A	Adequate
51	Jalgaon	Yawal	Viravali	A	A	Adequate
52	Jalna	Partur	Daithna Bk	A	A	Adequate
53	Jalna	Partur	Ganeshpur	A	A	Adequate
54	Jalna	Partur	Shrishti	A	A	Adequate
55	Latur	Nilanga	Ambulga Bk	A	A	Adequate
56	Latur	Latur	Bori	A	A	Adequate
57	Latur	Latur	Gondegaon	A	A	Adequate
58	Latur	Nilanga	Gunjarga	A	A	Adequate
59	Latur	Nilanga	Hangarga	A	A	Adequate
60	Latur	Latur	Kasar Jawala	A	A	Adequate
61	Latur	Chakur	Latur Road (N.V.)	A	A	Adequate
62	Latur	Chakur	Mahalangra	A	A	Adequate
63	Latur	Latur	Maharanapratapnagar	A	A	Adequate
64	Latur	Nilanga	Manathpur	A	A	Adequate
65	Latur	Latur	Matephal	A	A	Adequate
66	Latur	Latur	sai	A	A	Adequate
67	Latur	Nilanga	Sawari	A	A	Adequate
68	Latur	Nilanga	Sirsi Hangarga	A	A	Adequate
69	Latur	Latur	Wadi Wagholi	A	A	Adequate
70	Latur	Chakur	Wadwal Nagnath	A	A	Adequate
71	Nagpur	Katol	Chikhali(Malo)	A	A	Adequate
72	Nagpur	Katol	Fetri	A	A	Adequate
73	Nagpur	Katol	Gohni	A	A	Adequate
74	Nagpur	Katol	Gondidigras	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
75	Nagpur	Katol	Kachari(Sa)	A	A	Adequate
76	Nagpur	Katol	Khandala (Kh)	A	A	Adequate
77	Nagpur	Katol	Kondhali	A	A	Adequate
78	Nagpur	Katol	Ladgaon	A	A	Adequate
79	Nagpur	Katol	Wadhona (Lodhi)	A	A	Adequate
80	Nashik	Sinnar	Bhokani	A	A	Adequate
81	Nashik	Sinnar	Dapur	A	A	Adequate
82	Nashik	Deola	Gunjalnagar	A	A	Adequate
83	Nashik	Sinnar	Hiwargaon	A	A	Adequate
84	Nashik	Deola	Kankapur	A	A	Adequate
85	Nashik	Sinnar	Komalwadi	A	A	Adequate
86	Nashik	Sinnar	Musalgaon	A	A	Adequate
87	Nashik	Sinnar	Nimgaon Sinnar	A	A	Adequate
88	Nashik	Sinnar	Panchale	A	A	Adequate
89	Nashik	Sinnar	Paste	A	A	Adequate
90	Nashik	Deola	Satvaichiwadi	A	A	Adequate
91	Nashik	Sinnar	Sayale	A	A	Adequate
92	Nashik	Sinnar	Shivajinagar (Khandalwadi)	A	A	Adequate
93	Nashik	Deola	Tisgaon	A	A	Adequate
94	Nashik	Deola	Umarane	A	A	Adequate
95	Nashik	Deola	Vasol	A	A	Adequate
96	Nashik	Deola	Vijaynagar	A	A	Adequate
97	Nashik	Deola	Warshi	A	A	Adequate
98	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Dharur	A	A	Adequate
99	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Ghogrewadi	A	A	Adequate
100	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Govarthanvadi	A	A	Adequate
101	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Keshegaon	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
102	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Mahadevwadi	A	A	Adequate
103	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Mohtarwadi	A	A	Adequate
104	Osmanabad	Osmanabad	Mulewadi	A	A	Adequate
105	Osmanabad	Omerga	Naichakur	A	A	Adequate
106	Osmanabad	Omerga	Sawalsoor	A	A	Adequate
107	Pune	Baramati	Barhanpur	A	A	Adequate
108	Pune	Baramati	Dhekalwadi	A	A	Adequate
109	Pune	Baramati	Hinganewadi	A	A	Adequate
110	Pune	Baramati	Jalgaon Kp	A	A	Adequate
111	Pune	Baramati	Jalgaon Supe	A	A	Adequate
112	Pune	Baramati	Karkhel	A	A	Adequate
113	Pune	Purandar	Kothale	A	A	Adequate
114	Pune	Baramati	Kutwalwadi	A	A	Adequate
115	Pune	Purandar	Mawadi Kade Pathar	A	A	Adequate
116	Pune	Baramati	Naroli	A	A	Adequate
117	Pune	Purandar	Nazare Supe	A	A	Adequate
118	Pune	Purandar	Pargaon	A	A	Adequate
119	Pune	Indapur	Sapkalwadi	A	A	Adequate
120	Pune	Baramati	Sonakaswasti	A	A	Adequate
121	Pune	Baramati	Supe	A	A	Adequate
122	Pune	Baramati	Tardoli	A	A	Adequate
123	Pune	Baramati	Undavadisupe	A	A	Adequate
124	Pune	Baramati	Vanjarwadi	A	A	Adequate
125	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Agalgaon	A	A	Adequate
126	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Arewadi	A	A	Adequate
127	Sangli	Tasgaon	Biranwadi	A	A	Adequate
128	Sangli	Miraj	Chabukswarwadi	A	A	Adequate
129	Sangli	Tasgaon	Gavhan	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
130	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Irali	A	A	Adequate
131	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Kerewadi	A	A	Adequate
132	Sangli	Jat	Khalati	A	A	Adequate
133	Sangli	Jat	Khilarwadi	A	A	Adequate
134	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Moghamwadi	A	A	Adequate
135	Sangli	Tasgaon	Sidhhewadi	A	A	Adequate
136	Sangli	Jat	Sindur	A	A	Adequate
137	Sangli	Tasgaon	Waifale	A	A	Adequate
138	Sangli	Jat	Wajrawad	A	A	Adequate
139	Sangli	Tasgaon	Yamgarwadi	A	A	Adequate
140	Sangli	Kavathemahankal	Zurewadi	A	A	Adequate
141	Satara	Wai	Anpatwadi(New)	A	A	Adequate
142	Satara	Man	Bodake	A	A	Adequate
143	Satara	Khatav	Dharpudi	A	A	Adequate
144	Satara	Man	Dorgewadi (Naravane)	A	A	Adequate
145	Satara	Wai	Ekasar	A	A	Adequate
146	Satara	Khatav	Enkul	A	A	Adequate
147	Satara	Man	Kasarwadi (Andhali)	A	A	Adequate
148	Satara	Man	Kulakjai	A	A	Adequate
149	Satara	Wai	Kusgaon	A	A	Adequate
150	Satara	Man	Lodhavade	A	A	Adequate
151	Satara	Khatav	Manetupewadi (N.V.)	A	A	Adequate
152	Satara	Wai	Nandgane	A	A	Adequate
153	Satara	Man	Naravane	A	A	Adequate
154	Satara	Wai	Pandharechiwadi (N.V.)	A	A	Adequate
155	Satara	Wai	Purna Vyahali(R.H.V.)	A	A	Adequate
156	Satara	Man	Shindi Bk	A	A	Adequate
157	Solapur	Malshiras	Bham	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
158	Solapur	Malshiras	Bhamburdi	A	A	Adequate
159	Solapur	Malshiras	Borgaon Bk	A	A	Adequate
160	Solapur	Malshiras	Girzani	A	NI	Needs Improvement
161	Solapur	Malshiras	Goradwadi	A	A	Adequate
162	Solapur	Malshiras	Jadhavwadi	A	A	Adequate
163	Solapur	Malshiras	Kurbhavi	A	NI	Needs Improvement
164	Solapur	Malshiras	Malkhambi	A	A	Adequate
165	Solapur	Malshiras	Purandavade	A	A	Adequate
166	Solapur	Malshiras	Redde	A	A	Adequate
167	Solapur	Malshiras	Shindhewadi	A	A	Adequate
168	Solapur	Malshiras	Vithalwadi	A	NI	Needs Improvement
169	Solapur	Malshiras	Vizhori	A	A	Adequate
170	Solapur	Malshiras	Zanjewadi	NI	NI	Needs Improvement

A - Adequate

NI - Needs Improvement

CAR - Consultative Approach Result

SAR - Scheme Awareness Result

E. RAJASTHAN

Report Card- DLI#2 2nd Round of Verification in Rajasthan

Water Security Plan	Rajasthan
Total no. of WSPs submitted	780
WSPs sampled for field verification	162
WSPs found 'Adequate' for community participation assessment	133

GP wise results for Community Participation Assessment

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
1	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Bubani	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
2	Ajmer	Peesangaon	Dantra	A	A	Adequate
3	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Doomara	NI	A	Needs Improvement
4	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Gegal	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
5	Ajmer	Peesangaon	Kalesara	A	A	Adequate
6	Ajmer	Srinagar	Nyara	A	A	Adequate
7	Ajmer	Srinagar	Pharkiya	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
8	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Ramner Dhani	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
9	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Sarana	A	A	Adequate
10	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Sedariya	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
11	Ajmer	Srinagar	Tilana	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
12	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural	Tilora	A	A	Adequate
13	Alwar	Rajgarh	Baldeogarh	A	A	Adequate
14	Alwar	Rajgarh	Firozpur Jageer	A	A	Adequate
15	Alwar	Rajgarh	Ghewar	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
16	Alwar	Rajgarh	Nadoo	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
17	Alwar	Rajgarh	Nayagaon Bolka	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
18	Alwar	Rajgarh	Rajor	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
19	Baran	Atru	Deelod Hathi	A	A	Adequate
20	Baran	Baran	Karnahera	A	A	Adequate
21	Baran	Atru	Kerwaliya	A	A	Adequate
22	Baran	Atru	Kishanpura	A	A	Adequate
23	Baran	Baran	Mathana	A	A	Adequate
24	Baran	Atru	Moondla Bisoti	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
25	Baran	Atru	Sakatpur	A	A	Adequate
26	Baran	Atru	Shergarh	A	A	Adequate
27	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Biliya	A	A	Adequate
28	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Hukampura	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
29	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Kadi Sahna	A	A	Adequate
30	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Kanechan Kalan	A	A	Adequate
31	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Lasariya	A	A	Adequate
32	Bhilawara	Shahpura	Phooliya Kalan	A	A	Adequate
33	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Arniya Panth	A	A	Adequate
34	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Eral	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
35	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Ghosondi	A	A	Adequate
36	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Keljer	A	A	Adequate
37	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Odoond	A	A	Adequate
38	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh	Semaliya	A	A	Adequate
39	Dausa	Sikandra	Achalpura	A	A	Adequate
40	Dausa	Bandikui	Arniya	A	A	Adequate
41	Dausa	Lawan	Bhandana	A	A	Adequate
42	Dausa	Dausa	Bhandarej	A	A	Adequate
43	Dausa	Bandikui	Bhandeda	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
44	Dausa	Dausa	Chawandeda	A	A	Adequate
45	Dausa	Bandikui	Dhanawar	A	A	Adequate
46	Dausa	Sikandra	Dubbi	A	A	Adequate
47	Dausa	Bandikui	Dwarapura	A	A	Adequate
48	Dausa	Sikandra	Gangadwari	A	A	Adequate
49	Dausa	Baswa	Gurha Katla	A	A	Adequate
50	Dausa	Lawan	Jirota Khurd	A	A	Adequate
51	Dausa	Dausa	Kundal	A	A	Adequate
52	Dausa	Nangal Rajawatan	Lahri Ka Was	A	A	Adequate
53	Dausa	Dausa	Nangal Chapa	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
54	Dausa	Sikandra	Nihal Pura	A	A	Adequate
55	Dausa	Bandikui	Pamedi	A	A	Adequate
56	Dausa	Bandikui	Peechupara Kalan	A	A	Adequate
57	Dausa	Bandikui	Pratappura	A	A	Adequate
58	Dausa	Sikandra	Sikandara	A	A	Adequate
59	Dausa	Nangal Rajawatan	Thoomri	A	A	Adequate
60	Dausa	Baijupada	Tudiyana	A	A	Adequate
61	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Biparpur	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
62	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Birodha	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
63	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Doobra	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
64	Dhaulpur	Saipau	Kaithri	A	NI	Needs Improvement
65	Dhaulpur	Saipau	Kareempur	A	A	Adequate
66	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Kasimpur	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
67	Dhaulpur	Saipau	Maloni Panwar	A	A	Adequate
68	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Pachagaon	NI	NI	Needs Improvement

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
69	Dhaulpur	Saipau	Piphera	A	A	Adequate
70	Dhaulpur	Dhaulpur	Singhawali Bareh	A	A	Adequate
71	Dhaulpur	Saipau	Tahri	A	NI	Needs Improvement
72	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	30 SSW	A	A	Adequate
73	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Araiyawali	A	A	Adequate
74	Hanumangarh	Sangriya	Bhakharawali	A	A	Adequate
75	Hanumangarh	Tibbi	Chahuwali	A	A	Adequate
76	Hanumangarh	Sangriya	Chak Heera Singh Wala	A	A	Adequate
77	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Chak Jawala Singh Wala	A	A	Adequate
78	Hanumangarh	Sangriya	Fatehpur	A	A	Adequate
79	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Jorkiyan	A	A	Adequate
80	Hanumangarh	Sangriya	Nathwana	A	A	Adequate
81	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Norangdesar	A	A	Adequate
82	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Pakka Saharna	A	A	Adequate
83	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh	Rodawali	A	A	Adequate
84	Hanumangarh	Sangriya	Santpura	A	A	Adequate
85	Hanumangarh	Tibbi	Surewala	A	A	Adequate
86	Jaipur	Govindgarh	Aashti Kalan	A	A	Adequate
87	Jaipur	Jalsu	Anoppura	A	A	Adequate
88	Jaipur	Jalsu	Bhatton Ki Gali	A	A	Adequate
89	Jaipur	Jalsu	Bheelpura	A	A	Adequate
90	Jaipur	Govindgarh	Dola Ka Bas	A	A	Adequate
91	Jaipur	Jalsu	Gudha Surjan	A	A	Adequate
92	Jaipur	Jalsu	Jaisinghpura Shekhawatan	A	A	Adequate
93	Jaipur	Govindgarh	Kanwarpura	A	A	Adequate
94	Jaipur	Amber	Khora Meena	NI	A	Needs Improvement
95	Jaipur	Jalsu	Nangal Bichpari	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
96	Jaipur	Amber	Nangal Susavtan	A	A	Adequate
97	Jaipur	Jalsu	Punana	A	A	Adequate
98	Jaipur	Govindgarh	Sandarsar	A	A	Adequate
99	Jaipur	Govindgarh	Singod Kalan	A	A	Adequate
100	Jaipur	Jalsu	Udaipuriya	A	A	Adequate
101	Jaisalmer	Mohangarh	Bhoha	A	A	Adequate
102	Jaisalmer	Jaisalmer	Chhatrel	A	A	Adequate
103	Jaisalmer	Nachna	Chinnoo	A	A	Adequate
104	Jaisalmer	Mohangarh	Kathodi	A	NI	Needs Improvement
105	Jaisalmer	Nachna	Nokh	A	A	Adequate
106	Jaisalmer	Jaisalmer	Roopsi	A	A	Adequate
107	Jaisalmer	Nachna	Talriya	NI	A	Needs Improvement
108	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Gadarwara Doondi	A	A	Adequate
109	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Malan Wasa	A	A	Adequate
110	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Mau Borda	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
111	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Panwar	A	A	Adequate
112	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Sarkhandiya	A	A	Adequate
113	Jhalawar	Khanpur	Sojpur	A	A	Adequate
114	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Bansiyal	A	A	Adequate
115	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Basai	A	A	Adequate
116	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Dalepura	A	A	Adequate
117	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Doodhwa Nangliya	A	A	Adequate
118	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Mehara Jatuwas	A	A	Adequate
119	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Nangaliya Gujarwas	A	A	Adequate
120	Jhunjhunu	Khetri	Norangpura	A	A	Adequate
121	Karauli	Shri Mahaveer Ji	Akbarpur	A	A	Adequate
122	Karauli	Shri Mahaveer Ji	Alipura	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
123	Karauli	Shri Mahaveer Ji	Dhahara	A	A	Adequate
124	Karauli	Shri Mahaveer Ji	Gaonri Meena	A	A	Adequate
125	Karauli	Hindaun	Jatwara	A	A	Adequate
126	Karauli	Hindaun	Jhareda	A	A	Adequate
127	Karauli	Shri Mahaveer Ji	Vajheda	A	A	Adequate
128	Karauli	Hindaun	Vajna Kalan	A	A	Adequate
129	Kota	Sangod	Awan	A	NI	Needs Improvement
130	Kota	Sangod	Basiyaheri	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
131	Kota	Sangod	Danta	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
132	Kota	Sangod	Jhalri	A	A	Adequate
133	Kota	Sangod	Moikalan	A	A	Adequate
134	Kota	Sangod	Shyampura	NI	NI	Needs Improvement
135	Rajsamand	Rajsamand	Atma	A	A	Adequate
136	Rajsamand	Rajsamand	Emri	A	A	Adequate
137	Rajsamand	Rajsamand	Mundol	A	A	Adequate
138	Rajsamand	Rajsamand	Pharara	A	A	Adequate
139	Rajsamand	Rajsamand	Poothol	A	A	Adequate
140	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Ajnoti	A	A	Adequate
141	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Aniyala	A	A	Adequate
142	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Baharawanda Kalan	A	A	Adequate
143	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Chakeri	A	A	Adequate
144	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Dobra kalan	A	A	Adequate
145	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Goth Bihari	A	A	Adequate
146	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Halaunda	A	A	Adequate
147	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Khidarpur Jadaun	A	A	Adequate
148	Sawai Madhopur	Chauth Ka Barwara	Paondera	A	A	Adequate
149	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar	Phalaudi	A	A	Adequate

S. No.	District	Block	GP Name	CAR	SAR	GP Aggregate Result
150	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Ramri	A	A	Adequate
151	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Sinoli	A	A	Adequate
152	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur	Sunari	A	A	Adequate
153	Sikar	Patan	Dhandella	A	A	Adequate
154	Sikar	Patan	Doonga Ki Nangal	A	A	Adequate
155	Sikar	Neem Ka Thana	Godawas	A	A	Adequate
156	Sikar	Neem Ka Thana	Harjanpura	A	A	Adequate
157	Sikar	Ajeetgarh	Hathora	A	A	Adequate
158	Sikar	Neem Ka Thana	Heera Nagar	A	A	Adequate
159	Sikar	Neem ka Thana	Mawanda R.S.	A	A	Adequate
160	Sikar	Patan	Mothuka	A	A	Adequate
161	Sikar	Neem Ka Thana	Peetham Puri	A	A	Adequate
162	Sikar	Patan	Ramsinghpura	A	A	Adequate

A - Adequate

NI - Needs Improvement

CAR - Consultative Approach Result

SAR - Scheme Awareness Result

ANNEXURE III: ATAL BHUJAL YOJANA EXTENT

S.no	State	District	Block
1	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Dantiwada
2	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Deesa
3	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Deodar
4	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Dhanera
5	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Kankrej
6	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Lakhani
7	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Palanpur
8	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Tharad
9	Gujarat	Banaskantha	Vadgam
10	Gujarat	Gandhinagar	Dehgam
11	Gujarat	Gandhinagar	Gandhinagar
12	Gujarat	Gandhinagar	Kalol
13	Gujarat	Gandhinagar	Mansa
14	Gujarat	Kachchh	Anjar
15	Gujarat	Kachchh	Bhachau
16	Gujarat	Kachchh	Bhuj
17	Gujarat	Kachchh	Mandvi
18	Gujarat	Kachchh	Nakhatrana
19	Gujarat	Mehsana	Becharaji
20	Gujarat	Mehsana	Jotana
21	Gujarat	Mehsana	Kadi
22	Gujarat	Mehsana	Kheralu
23	Gujarat	Mehsana	Mehsana
24	Gujarat	Mehsana	Satlasana
25	Gujarat	Mehsana	Unjha
26	Gujarat	Mehsana	Vadnagar
27	Gujarat	Mehsana	Vijapur

S.no	State	District	Block
28	Gujarat	Mehsana	Visnagar
29	Gujarat	Patan	Chanasma
30	Gujarat	Patan	Patan
31	Gujarat	Patan	Sarasvati
32	Gujarat	Patan	Sidhapur
33	Gujarat	Sabarkantha	Idar
34	Gujarat	Sabarkantha	Prantij
35	Gujarat	Sabarkantha	Talod
36	Gujarat	Sabarkantha	Vadali
37	Haryana	Bhiwani	Behal
38	Haryana	Bhiwani	Kairu
39	Haryana	Bhiwani	Loharu
40	Haryana	Bhiwani	Tosham
41	Haryana	Charkhi Dadri	Badhra
42	Haryana	Faridabad	Ballabgarh
43	Haryana	Faridabad	Faridabad
44	Haryana	Fatehabad	Tohana
45	Haryana	Gurugram	Farrukh Nagar
46	Haryana	Gurugram	Gurgaon
47	Haryana	Gurugram	Pataudi
48	Haryana	Gurugram	Sohna
49	Haryana	Kaithal	Guhla
50	Haryana	Kaithal	Rajaund
51	Haryana	Karnal	Karnal
52	Haryana	Kurukshetra	Ladwa
53	Haryana	Kurukshetra	Pehwa
54	Haryana	Kurukshetra	Shahbad
55	Haryana	Mahendragarh	Ateli Nangal
56	Haryana	Mahendragarh	Kanina
57	Haryana	Mahendragarh	Mahendragarh
58	Haryana	Mahendragarh	Nagal Chaudhary

S.no	State	District	Block
59	Haryana	Mahendragarh	Narnaul
60	Haryana	Palwal	Hassanpur
61	Haryana	Palwal	Hathin
62	Haryana	Palwal	Hodal
63	Haryana	Palwal	Palwal
64	Haryana	Panipat	Bapoli
65	Haryana	Panipat	Samalkha
66	Haryana	Rewari	Khol (Rewari)
67	Haryana	Sirsa	Ellenabad
68	Haryana	Sirsa	Rania
69	Haryana	Yamunanagar	Jagadhri
70	Haryana	Yamunanagar	Mustafabad
71	Haryana	Yamunanagar	Radour
72	Haryana	Yamunanagar	Sadhaura
73	Karnataka	Bagalkot	Badami
74	Karnataka	Bagalkot	Bagalkot
75	Karnataka	Ballari	Hagaribommanahalli
76	Karnataka	Ballari	Harapanahalli
77	Karnataka	Belagavi	Athani
78	Karnataka	Belagavi	Ramdurg
79	Karnataka	Bengaluru (Rural)	Devanhalli
80	Karnataka	Bengaluru (Rural)	Doddaballapur
81	Karnataka	Bengaluru (Rural)	Hoskote
82	Karnataka	Bengaluru (Rural)	Nelamangala
83	Karnataka	Chamarajanagara	Gundlupet
84	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Bagepalli
85	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Chikkaballapura
86	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Chinthamani
87	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Gauribidanur
88	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Gudibanda
89	Karnataka	Chikkaballapura	Sidlaghatta

S.no	State	District	Block
90	Karnataka	Chikkamagaluru	Kadur
91	Karnataka	Chitradurga	Challakere
92	Karnataka	Chitradurga	Chitradurga
93	Karnataka	Chitradurga	Hiriyur
94	Karnataka	Chitradurga	Holalkere
95	Karnataka	Chitradurga	Hosadurga
96	Karnataka	Davanagere	Channagiri
97	Karnataka	Davanagere	Jagalur
98	Karnataka	Gadag	Gadag
99	Karnataka	Gadag	Ron
100	Karnataka	Hassan	Arasikere
101	Karnataka	Kolar	Bangarpet
102	Karnataka	Kolar	Kolar
103	Karnataka	Kolar	Malur
104	Karnataka	Kolar	Mulabagal
105	Karnataka	Kolar	Srinivasapura
106	Karnataka	Ramnagara	Kanakapura
107	Karnataka	Ramnagara	Ramanagara
108	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Chikkanayakanahalli
109	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Koratagere
110	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Madhugiri
111	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Sira
112	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Tiptur
113	Karnataka	Tumakuru	Tumakuru
114	Madhya Pradesh	Chhatarpur	Chhatarpur
115	Madhya Pradesh	Chhatarpur	Naugaon
116	Madhya Pradesh	Chhatarpur	Rajnagar
117	Madhya Pradesh	Damoh	Pathariya
118	Madhya Pradesh	Niwari	Niwari
119	Madhya Pradesh	Panna	Ajaygarh
120	Madhya Pradesh	Sagar	Sagar

S.no	State	District	Block
121	Madhya Pradesh	Tikamgarh	Baldevgarh
122	Madhya Pradesh	Tikamgarh	Palera
123	Maharashtra	Ahmednagar	Karjat
124	Maharashtra	Ahmednagar	Rahata
125	Maharashtra	Ahmednagar	Sangamner
126	Maharashtra	Amravati	Chandur Bazar
127	Maharashtra	Amravati	Morshi
128	Maharashtra	Amravati	Warud
129	Maharashtra	Buldhana	Motala
130	Maharashtra	Jalgaon	Amalner
131	Maharashtra	Jalgaon	Parola
132	Maharashtra	Jalgaon	Raver
133	Maharashtra	Jalgaon	Yawal
134	Maharashtra	Jalna	Ghansawangi
135	Maharashtra	Jalna	Jalna
136	Maharashtra	Jalna	Partur
137	Maharashtra	Latur	Chakur
138	Maharashtra	Latur	Latur
139	Maharashtra	Latur	Nilanga
140	Maharashtra	Latur	Renapur
141	Maharashtra	Nagpur	Katol
142	Maharashtra	Nagpur	Narkhed
143	Maharashtra	Nashik	Deola
144	Maharashtra	Nashik	Sinnar
145	Maharashtra	Osmanabad	Osmanabad
146	Maharashtra	Osmanabad	Umarga
147	Maharashtra	Pune	Baramati
148	Maharashtra	Pune	Indapur
149	Maharashtra	Pune	Purandhar
150	Maharashtra	Sangli	Jat
151	Maharashtra	Sangli	Kvathe-Mahankal

S.no	State	District	Block
152	Maharashtra	Sangli	Khanapur
153	Maharashtra	Sangli	Tasgaon
154	Maharashtra	Satara	Khatav
155	Maharashtra	Satara	Man
156	Maharashtra	Satara	Wai
157	Maharashtra	Solapur	Madha
158	Maharashtra	Solapur	Malshiras
159	Maharashtra	Solapur	Mohol
160	Maharashtra	Solapur	Pandharpur
161	Rajasthan	Ajmer	Ajmer Rural
162	Rajasthan	Ajmer	Peesangan
163	Rajasthan	Ajmer	Srinagar
164	Rajasthan	Alwar	Rajgarh
165	Rajasthan	Baran	Atru
166	Rajasthan	Baran	Baran
167	Rajasthan	Bhilwara	Shahpura
168	Rajasthan	Chittaurgarh	Chittaurgarh
169	Rajasthan	Dausa	Baijupada
170	Rajasthan	Dausa	Bandikui
171	Rajasthan	Dausa	Baswa
172	Rajasthan	Dausa	Dausa
173	Rajasthan	Dausa	Lawan
174	Rajasthan	Dausa	Nangal Rajawatan
175	Rajasthan	Dausa	Sikandara
176	Rajasthan	Dholpur	Dholpur
177	Rajasthan	Dholpur	Saipau
178	Rajasthan	Hanumangarh	Hanumangarh
179	Rajasthan	Hanumangarh	Sangaria
180	Rajasthan	Hanumangarh	Tibbi
181	Rajasthan	Jaipur	Amber
182	Rajasthan	Jaipur	Govindgarh

S.no	State	District	Block
183	Rajasthan	Jaipur	Jalsu
184	Rajasthan	Jaisalmer	Jaisalmer
185	Rajasthan	Jaisalmer	Mohangarh
186	Rajasthan	Jaisalmer	Nachana
187	Rajasthan	Jhalawar	Khanpur
188	Rajasthan	Jhunjhunu	Khetri
189	Rajasthan	Karauli	Hindaun
190	Rajasthan	Karauli	Shree Mahaveer Ji
191	Rajasthan	Kota	Sangod
192	Rajasthan	Rajsamand	Rajsamand
193	Rajasthan	Sawai Madhopur	Chauth Ka Barwara
194	Rajasthan	Sawai Madhopur	Khandar
195	Rajasthan	Sawai Madhopur	Sawai Madhopur
196	Rajasthan	Sikar	Ajeetgarh
197	Rajasthan	Sikar	Neem Ka Thana
198	Rajasthan	Sikar	Patan
199	Uttar Pradesh	Baghpat	Baghpat
200	Uttar Pradesh	Baghpat	Pilana
201	Uttar Pradesh	Banda	Barokharkhurd
202	Uttar Pradesh	Banda	Jaspura
203	Uttar Pradesh	Banda	Mahua
204	Uttar Pradesh	Banda	Naraini
205	Uttar Pradesh	Banda	Tindwari
206	Uttar Pradesh	Chitrakoot	Karwi
207	Uttar Pradesh	Chitrakoot	Manikpur
208	Uttar Pradesh	Chitrakoot	Mau
209	Uttar Pradesh	Chitrakoot	Ramnagar
210	Uttar Pradesh	Hamirpur	Maudaha
211	Uttar Pradesh	Hamirpur	Muskara
212	Uttar Pradesh	Hamirpur	Sarila

S.no	State	District	Block
213	Uttar Pradesh	Hamirpur	Sumerpur
214	Uttar Pradesh	Jhansi	Babina
215	Uttar Pradesh	Jhansi	Mauranipur
216	Uttar Pradesh	Lalitpur	Talbehat
217	Uttar Pradesh	Mahoba	Charkhari
218	Uttar Pradesh	Mahoba	Jaitpur
219	Uttar Pradesh	Mahoba	Kabrai
220	Uttar Pradesh	Mahoba	Panwari
221	Uttar Pradesh	Meerut	Kharkhonda
222	Uttar Pradesh	Meerut	Rajpura
223	Uttar Pradesh	Muzafarnagar	Budhana
224	Uttar Pradesh	Shamli	Kandhala

